Proposal	Potential Revenue	Potential Expense	Argument in Favor	Argument Against
Vacancy Tax Residential	Potential revenue	Will need	Housing shortage is	Smaller property owners who
	depends on estimated	administering	aggravated by empty	have 1-2 residential units could
	number of empty	agency and/or city	houses and apartments.	be against based on their
	dwellings and rate	staff time. Estimate	Property tax revenues	holdings being not large
	charged. Calculate at	administrative fees	from empty properties	enough.
	10% of fair market	at 5%	are assumed to be very	
	value for rent.		low. Having a penalty	
	**		would encourage either	
	Vacancy rate		use or sale of property	
	apartments 1.4% Total estimated units		which would bring	
			property taxes in line with current value and	
	empty per month 116 Median rent		generate transfer tax.	
	\$2500x116@10%		generate transfer tax.	
	\$348K			
	ψ3ποις			
	Vacancy rate			
	houses 0.4%			
	Total estimated			
	houses			
	empty per month 33			
	Median rent			
	\$3500x33@10%			
	\$138K			
	Vacancy defined in			
	terms similar to City			
	of Oakland and City			
	of Berkeley			
	ordinances.			

Vacancy Tax Commercial	Potential revenue depends on number of empty storefronts. Including empty parking lots would provide more revenue. Golden Gate fields has acres of open space called parking lots. Can that be considered as vacant commercial property?	Administrative Costs	Solano and San Pablo commercial residents would be motivated to get building rented. Decrease blight.	Businesses already stressed financially
Electronic Billboard	\$100-500K	Administrative fees of less than 5% estimated	City owned property with high visibility from freeways. Maintained be lease agent not city staff.	Light pollution or possible disruption to some residents on west side of Albany Hill

Sports Betting Initiative Nov 8, 2022 State ballot initiative	See https://ballotpedia.org /California Legalize Sports Betting tax profits on sports betting at 10%- revenue to state-local sales tax to Albany?	As per existing ballot measure	Polling indicates potential support statewide	Per website
University Property Revenue at Sprouts/Belmont Village site	Is this legally possible? Is a deal with UC on fire and police coverage as the City of Berkeley has done more feasible given that they are the lease holders on the commercial property and the housing?	UCB and City of Berkeley signed a binding agreement in 2021 wherein the University pays \$4.1M per year to the city for police support and fire services on its properties. Is a similar agreement possible with Albany as related to university owned lands under lease to commercial enterprises?	TBD	TBD

Local Tax on Marijuana	California collected	Would require	Existing prohibition	TBD
Dispensary/warehouse/production	about \$817 million in	intermediary to	never went to public for	
	adult-use marijuana	collect tax as in	vote. City council did	
	tax revenue during	SSB tax. What is	not support default	
	the 2020-2021 fiscal	rate charged for	option assigned by state.	
	year none of that goes	SSB tax admin?		
	to cities who do not			
	have dispensaries.			
	State limits amount			
	returned to local			
	jurisdiction based on			
	the amount of			
	cannabis business			
	within the			
	jurisdiction.			
	Francesco has			
	communicated with			
	Angela Hill, Deputy			
	Director of Cannabis			
	Control California,			
	who states she will			
	provide info on			
	potential revenue for			
	dispensary in Albany			
Assess UC Berkeley annually for	Determine cost per	Administrative	TBD	TBD
fire and public works in UC	capita and assess the	Costs and legal		
Village and on UC owned/leased	landowner (UC) for	costs of setting up		
property	services based on	agreement		
	population of UC			
	Village.			

Progressive Real Estate Transfer	Present transfer tax is	Potential impact to	TBD	TBD
Tax	1.5% split 50-50	high end property		
	buyer and seller.	transfers		
	Propose 1% for			
	properties under			
	\$900K then 1.5% for			
	\$900K-1.5M			
	Up to 2.5% for			
	properties over 2.5M			
Neighborhood parking permits	\$50/year first vehicle with 100/year on second and \$200 on additional or commercial licensed vehicles parking on city streets in	Administrative Costs	Support from active transportation and environmental groups	Opposition from some residents who do not see the value of charging them for what has been free parking
	neighborhoods who			
	approve of plan			