3 4

10 11 12

9

13 14 15

17 18 19

16

21 22 23

24

25

26

20

33 34

35

36 37 38

39 40 41

42

43 44

MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 2021

1. **CALL TO ORDER**

Chair Watty called the regular meeting of the Planning and Zoning Commission to order at 7:00 p.m. on Wednesday, September 8, 2021.

2. **ROLL CALL**

Present: Donaldson, MacLeod, Momin, Watty

Absent: Pilch

Staff Present: Planning Manager Anne Hersch

Associate Planner Christopher Tan

EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS 3.

None

4. **CONSENT CALENDAR**

PA21-052 Design Review for a Two-Level Addition at 1467 Portland Avenue 4A. - The applicant is seeking Design Review approval for a two-level addition at 1467 Portland Avenue. The subject property is a 5,000-sq.-ft. lot with a 3-bedroom, 1bathroom, 1,670-sq.-ft. split-level house built in 1930. The project scope includes minor additions on the main and basement levels to accommodate modest expansions to the kitchen, bedroom, and office. The second-story addition is proposed to accommodate a new master suite and laundry room. This results in a total of 946 sq. ft. of new area to the home. The existing Story-Book style of the home is proposed to remain. This will result in a 4-bedroom, 2-bathroom, 2,616sq.-ft. home with a maximum height of 27'-9". A new detached garage and parking pad are proposed on the north end of the property to accommodate two off-street parking spaces in tandem configurations.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Planning & Zoning Commission review and approve the project request subject to the findings and Conditions of Approval.

CEQA: The project is Categorically exempt from CEQA pursuant to Section 15303 "New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures" of the CEQA Guidelines.

Planning Manager Anne Hersh announced that the applicant has requested a continuance due to the incorrect installation of story poles on the site.

4 5

6 7 8

9

10

11

17

> 38 39 40

41 42 43

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED

Gary Parsons, project architect, requested Commissioners comment on the project so that he and the homeowners may respond at the next meeting.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

Commissioner MacLeod noted that the plans appear to indicate that cars must drive through the garage to reach the second parking space. Relocating the garage to the rear corner of the property and having the parking space in front of the garage is a better alternative. In the west elevation, the addition appears as a big block tacked onto the house and does not relate well to the house.

Commissioner Donaldson viewed the proposed parking arrangement as unusual tandem parking but not a concern. The design is attractive. Based on the story poles, the addition will be barely visible when viewed from Portland. Correction of the story poles could change his opinion. The south wall of the addition appears to be purely vertical and awkward. The house's roof pitches and design details are replicated well in the addition. The addition could be integrated better into the house.

Commissioner MacLeod suggested another visit to the site once the story poles accurately represent the height of the addition.

4B. PA21-060 Design Review for Second-Story Addition at 915 Taylor Street -The applicant is seeking Design Review approval for a second-story addition at 915 Taylor Street. The subject property is a 5,000-sq.-ft. lot with an existing nonconforming 3-bedroom, 2-bathroom duplex located in the R-1 Zoning District and built in 1925. The project scope includes expanding the duplex by 512 sq. ft. on the south and east sides of the building. The addition area is proposed to accommodate an expanded kitchen, a new bathroom, and interior reprogramming of the main-level unit. The northern exterior staircase is proposed to be eliminated. Entry to the upper-level unit is proposed on the south side of the building through a new interior staircase. A new deck is proposed for the upper-level unit directly above the proposed addition on the main level. The existing architecture of the home is proposed to remain. This will result in a 3-bedroom, 4-bathroom, 2,231sq.-ft. duplex with a maximum height of 25'-11". Three off-street parking spaces are proposed in the existing garage and a driveway located on the north side of the property.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Planning & Zoning Commission review and approve the project request subject to the findings and Conditions of Approval.

CEQA: The project is Categorically exempt from CEQA pursuant to Section 15303 "New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures" of the CEQA Guidelines.

Commissioner Momin recused himself from Item 4A due to a conflict of interest.

Motion to continue PA21-052 for 1467 Portland Avenue to September 22, 2021 and **approve PA21-060** for 915 Taylor Street subject to the proposed findings and Conditions of Approval. Donaldson

Seconded by MacLeod

AYES: Donaldson, MacLeod, Momin (PA21-052), Watty

NAYES: None

RECUSED: Momin (PA21-060)

ABSENT: Pilch **Motion passed**, 4-0-0-1

Chair Watty noted the appeal period for PA21-060.

5. ANNOUNCEMENTS/COMMUNICATIONS/DISCUSSION

Associate Planner Christopher Tan demonstrated the City's new software for online agendas, staff reports, and supporting information.

6. PUBLIC COMMENT

None

7. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON MATTERS RELATED TO THE FOLLOWING ITEMS:

7A. PA21-056 Design Review & Parking Reduction for a Single-Story Addition at 1110 Pomona Avenue – The applicant is seeking Design Review and Parking Reduction approval for a single-story addition at 1110 Pomona Avenue. The subject property is a 5,460-sq.-ft. lot with a 2-bedroom, 2-bathroom, 1,362-sq.-ft. home built in 1946. The project scope includes building a 219-sq.-ft. addition on the south side of the home. The addition is proposed to accommodate a kitchen expansion and remodel along with one new bedroom for the home. A new side entry is proposed off the kitchen area with landing and stairs. The existing architectural style of the home is proposed to remain. This will result in a 3-bedroom, 2-bathroom, 1,581-sq.-ft. home with a maximum height of 17'-5". The property currently provides two, required off-street parking spaces in the detached garage and driveway. A Parking Reduction is required to eliminate one parking space to accommodate the project design.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Planning & Zoning Commission review and approve the project request subject to the findings and Conditions of Approval.

CEQA: The project is Categorically exempt from CEQA pursuant to Section 15303 "New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures" of the CEQA Guidelines.

Associate Planner Tan presented the staff report dated September 8, 2021.

Brooke Malik, property owner, reported the purpose of the addition is to create a third bedroom and improve the home's livability. If the addition does not extend into the driveway, the second entry would be located at the rear of the house and open into a bedroom. Dozens of houses in the neighborhood have one conforming off-street parking space. If necessary, the addition can be pulled back 1 foot to provide a second conforming parking space.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED

Nick Peterson indicated that the fence across the driveway includes a gate that can be opened to allow vehicle access to the garage. Many homes in the neighborhood have one off-street parking space. He supported the request for a parking reduction.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

Chair Watty supported the modest addition as a way to update the home yet retain its affordability for first-time homeowners. The project maintains the existing architectural style of the house. Detached garages accessed by a long, narrow driveway tend to be used for storage. The driveway will measure approximately 34 feet from the front property line to the addition, which is sufficient space to park two compact cars. Both adjacent neighbors have one off-street parking space. Granting a parking reduction in this situation is appropriate.

Commissioner Donaldson advised that the neighborhood has a good supply of on-street parking, and granting a parking reduction for the project is not a concern. On-street parking is likely to be stable for many years. The size of the addition is below the threshold that requires a parking reduction.

Commissioner Momin noted that a minor adjustment to the addition would result in two conforming off-street parking spaces. The project as proposed leaves sufficient space to park two small vehicles in the driveway.

Commissioner MacLeod expressed some concern about making the findings for a parking reduction when the project can be designed to maintain two off-street parking spaces.

The interior spaces work better with the proposed width of the addition; although, a large circulation space could be reduced.

Planning Manager Hersh recalled that a previous Commission granted a parking reduction for an addition at 1122 Garfield to encroach into the driveway.

Motion to approve PA21-056 for 1110 Pomona Avenue subject to the findings and Conditions of Approval. Donaldson

Seconded by MacLeod

AYES: Donaldson, MacLeod, Momin, Watty

NAYES: None ABSTAIN: None ABSENT: Pilch **Motion passed,** 4-0-0-1

Chair Watty noted the appeal period.

7B. PA21-062 UC Village Master Sign Program Amendment – The Master Sign Program for the University Village Shopping Center was approved on September 9, 2015 and did not include policies for digital advertising. An application has been filed for new electric vehicle charging stations at University Village Shopping Center which include digital advertising. The proposed amendment to the Master Sign Program would allow digital advertising on the electric vehicle chargers only. All previously approved sign regulations under the UC Village Master Sign Program are proposed to remain.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Planning & Zoning Commission review and approve the project request subject to the findings and Conditions of Approval.

CEQA: The Environmental Impact Report (EIR) was certified by the City Council via Resolution #2011-51, as well as an addendum to the EIR approved on May 6, 2013. An environmental impact report for the project was approved by the City Council in July 2012. The proposed sign design review does not generate new or substantially more severe impacts than what were previously analyzed in the project EIR.

Associate Planner Tan presented the staff report dated September 8, 2021.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED

Nick Peterson, Albany Climate Action Coalition, noted that charging stations and their installation are expensive, and free charging stations are needed. The City could allow

only static art displays. The aesthetics of a charging station located in a parking lot may be different from one located adjacent to a street.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

 Commissioner Donaldson noted that a disabled parking placard is not required to utilize the charging station spaces. He expressed concerns about the size and brightness of the display and potential content. The application proposes two charging stations, but the amendment would allow the installation of more stations in the parking lot. The shopping center owner should pay for the chargers and installation and eliminate advertising.

Planning Manager Hersh advised that the shopping center owner is sponsoring the charging stations. Existing conduit supports only two charging stations. Additional charging stations would require extensive construction.

Chair Watty did not favor pedestrian-level digital advertising. However, digital advertising would provide free charging stations for residents who are not able to install home chargers. Some parameters for the number and size of displays, landscaping, and operational hours are preferable. Perhaps the applicant would be amenable to installing a charging station without digital advertising for every charging station installed with advertising.

Commissioner Momin anticipated the installation of additional charging stations over time and expressed concern about the size of the power control system (PCS). The Commission may want to consider guidelines for PCS locations and landscaping. He supported the concept of digital advertising subsidizing free charging stations. Signage should clarify that the charging station spaces are not for the sole use of disabled persons.

Commissioner MacLeod wanted to understand the nature of the display and place limits on it. The parking space is required to be accessible but is not intended for the exclusive use of disabled persons. Visual blight is a concern as is visibility from the street. Perhaps the displays can be turned on and off at specific times.

Commissioner Momin supported implementing a pilot program while the Commission drafts guidelines.

Commissioner Donaldson proposed continuing the item so that the Commission could learn more about the advertising content, display, and equipment. Perhaps, the applicant or a representative of Volta could be present at a subsequent meeting. Comments regarding guidelines are well taken. One of the charging stations is located adjacent to public art, and the display may complement or conflict with the public art.

Chair Watty noted that jurisdictions are not allowed to regulate the content of signage.

5

11 12 13

10

15 16 17

14

19 20 21

22

18

32

33 34

27

35 36 37

38

39 40

41 42 43

44

Motion to continue PA21-062 for UC Village Master Sign Program to September 22, 2021. Donaldson

Seconded by Wattv

AYES: Donaldson, MacLeod, Momin, Watty

NAYES: None ABSTAIN: None Pilch ABSENT:

Motion passed, 4-0-0-1

NEW BUSINESS 8.

Parking Policies Discussion – The Planning Commission will hold a discussion 8A. on parking policies.

Recommendation: Receive a presentation and provide feedback.

CEQA: N/A

Planning Manager Hersch presented the staff report dated September 8, 2021.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED

Ed Fields suggested the Commission focus on off-street parking. The parking requirement for multifamily zones, or a lower requirement, should be applied to R-1 zones. The Commission has no authority to eliminate an existing required parking space.

Nick Peterson, speaking as an individual, supported permit parking or some type of parking management system. The City does not have data for vehicle ownership within the City.

Bryan Marten supported reducing parking requirements throughout the City. density development will impact parking, and the solution needs to be equitable.

Dan Johnson wanted to encourage reduced usage of vehicles and suggested the City eliminate off-street parking requirements, charge for on-street parking, and use parking revenue to improve public services on metered streets.

Amy Smolens requested the Commission include bicycle parking in any discussion of parking.

Nico Nagle, Housing Action Coalition, supported the discussion of parking as it complements housing discussions.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

Commissioner Momin indicated that there are opportunities to reduce car dependency and construction costs and increase housing affordability through parking requirements. However, residents and businesses may have conflicting desires with respect to parking. Keeping environmental goals in mind, the Commission should consider the optimum level of parking availability that can be achieved for residential and commercial uses and attempt to reduce the conflict between different land uses. Balancing the demand for parking during peak hours and off-peak hours is challenging. The City needs to conduct additional parking studies to learn about current conditions, particularly for the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan. The Commission should focus on multimodal transportation and prioritize modes other than vehicles. A free shuttle would be useful for reducing car usage and parking demand. The Commission should explore parking permit programs for neighborhoods and the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan and compact parking spaces.

 Commissioner Donaldson found the existing conditions report from the 2017 parking study useful. The forecast of future scenarios failed to address changing demographics and transportation modes and could not predict the significant increase in the City's Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA). The stakeholder surveys revealed emphatic opposition to paying for on-street parking, and that remains true. The 1958 ordinance states the purpose of residential parking permit programs is to keep nonresidents from overfilling parking spaces on residential streets. A residential parking permit program is not intended for residential development, such as the project proposed for the Albany Bowl site, and has to be enforced overnight to be effective. The challenge is minimizing overflow parking from residential development in hotspot areas, which are identified in the parking study. Future projects need to be fully parked onsite.

Commissioner MacLeod related that Commissioner Donaldson makes a good point about overflow parking. Parking availability creates more vehicle usage, traffic congestion, and greenhouse gas emissions. Great alternatives to car usage and storage, such as transit and bike rooms, and incentives to use alternatives are needed. Requirements should include parking/storage for one regular bike and one cargo bike per unit and electrical charging outlets. The San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan should require no parking minimum and a 0.5 parking maximum. Neighborhoods would decide whether reduced time periods for on-street parking, at least during the day, are preferable. Reducing the parking requirement for single-family zones is worth exploring because most lots in the City provide only one space.

Commissioner Donaldson commented that good data regarding car ownership and usage in multifamily developments is needed to predict realistic parking demands and overflow parking. Federal and State governments are focusing on increasing electric vehicle usage rather than decreasing vehicle usage. Traffic studies from Plan Bay Area project increases in car ownership in Alameda County and the region, and that seems to be a national and international trend as well. Lower-income households are dependent on

either transit or vehicles for their work commutes. Data and a discussion about bundled and unbundled parking are needed. Unbundled parking may be a driver of overflow parking.

Chair Watty supported a 1:1 parking requirement for single-family homes, allowing 8-foot by 15-foot compact parking spaces, allowing parking in the front setback, and exploration of a lower parking ratio for larger buildings with a pre-established and prescriptive transportation demand management (TDM) plan. A residential parking permit program will not address parking problems on residential streets. If on-street parking becomes too congested, residents may use or provide onsite parking.

Commissioner MacLeod requested staff share articles and studies about parking to inform future discussions. Parking should be unbundled. Planning for the future should incorporate transportation mode shifts.

9. NEXT MEETING – September 22, 2021, City Hall Council Chambers, 1000 San Pablo Avenue or virtual meeting pursuant to state and county guidance

10. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 9:41 p.m.

Submitted by: Anne Hersch, Planning Manager

Jeff Bond, Community Development Director