City of Albany

Planning & Zoning Commission Minutes of June 28, 2017 Meeting

Note: These minutes are subject to Planning & Zoning Commission approval. The minutes are not verbatim. An audiotape of the meeting is available for public review.

Regular Meeting

5 6 7

1

2 3 4

1. CALL TO ORDER: The regular meeting of the Planning and Zoning Commission was called to order by Chair Giesen-Fields in the City Council Chambers at 7:00 P.M. on Wednesday, June 28, 2017.

8 9 10

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

11 12

3. ROLL CALL

13 14

15

Present: Donaldson, Jennings, Kent, Menotti, Giesen-Fields

Absent:

None

Staff Present:

City Planner Anne Hersch

16 17

Associate Planner Christopher Tan

18 19

4. EX PARTE COMMUNICATION DISCLOSURES

20

None.

232425

22

5. CONSENT CALENDAR

262728

29

30

Chair Giesen-Fields added to the end of the sentence on page 4, line 24, "and the reduced head height in the driveway due to the proposed arch over the driveway." He revised page 7, line 3 to "... the Commission could consider added costs of building a story such as structural reinforcement costs, the aesthetic effects of adding the second story, and the effects to neighbors."

5-1. Planning & Zoning Commission Meeting Minutes of June 14, 2017.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

Motion to approve the Consent Calendar with the corrections to the Minutes.

Donaldson

Seconded by: Giesen-Fields

AYES: Donaldson, Jennings, Kent, Menotti, Giesen-Fields

NAYES: None

ABSTAINING: None ABSENT: None Motion passed, 5-0

3 4 5

1

2

Chair Giesen-Fields announced the Commission would hear Public Comment prior to New Business.

6 7 8

6. NEW BUSINESS

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

6.1 El Cerrito Specific Plan Study Session – The Planning & Zoning Commission will receive a presentation from El Cerrito Community Development Department Staff on the El Cerrito Specific Plan. This study session will include review of Specific Plan parking standards and projects under review.

Recommendation: This presentation is informational and no action is required.

16 17 CEQA: n/a

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

Sean Moss, El Cerrito Senior Planner, reported on parking standards from the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan. He shared the goals of the Specific Plan, which are to strengthen sense of place, ensure return on investment, encourage practical and market-friendly development, enhance and humanize the public realm, and catalyze mode shift. The Specific Plan identifies two transit zones, a Transit-Oriented Mid-Intensity, Mixed-Use zone and a Transit-Oriented, High-Intensity, Mixed-U zone. High-intensity areas are located closest to El Cerrito's two BART stations, and mid-intensity areas are located between the two stations and on the edges of the city. In mid-intensity areas, commercial parking standards are one space per 500 square feet of commercial use with no parking requirement for commercial uses less than 3,000 square feet in size. Residential parking standards have a maximum of 1.5 parking spaces per unit, but developers can go lower if a traffic study supports a decrease. Commercial uses are required to provide 1.5 shortterm bike spaces per 3,000 square feet of commercial use with a minimum of two bike spaces and a minimum of one long-term bike space per 10,000 square feet. Residential uses are required to provide a minimum of one short-term bike space per 20 units with a minimum of 1.5 spaces per unit. In higher-intensity areas, commercial uses are required to provide one parking space per 1,000 square feet, but there is no requirement for commercial spaces with less than 3,000 square feet to provide parking spaces. Residential uses are required to provide a maximum of one parking space per unit. Residential uses must provide one short-term bike parking space and one bike space per 10 units with a minimum of two bike spaces. El Cerrito staff is still working on accessible parking as required by the Code.

40 41 42

43

44 45 In response to questions from the Commission, Mr. Moss advised that there is a process for developers to justify surpassing the maximum parking allowed. The Specific Plan does not require all commercial uses to be located on the ground floor of buildings. El Cerrito staff has prepared a project-specific checklist to verify that mitigation measures in the

15 16 17

18 19

20 21 22

23 24 25

26 27

28

29

30 31

32

33 34 35

36 37

38 39 40

None.

41 42 43

44

45

program Environmental Impact Report (EIR) address any impacts of individual projects. El Cerrito uses a condition of approval that states the cost of parking shall be separate from the cost of the unit so that the buyer/renter is free not to purchase or rent parking. Mr. Moss was unsure of the requirements for bundled parking under financing regulations for affordable housing projects. Developers appear to be planning submerged parking in order to maximize building heights and building envelopes. The Specific Plan does not contain a maximum residential density or maximum floor area ratio for projects. El Cerrito moved to parking maximums in order to encourage development of housing and to increase the interaction between buildings and the street. El Cerrito hopes to encourage mode shift through increasing the amount of bike parking, prioritizing transit use and pedestrian use along San Pablo Avenue, utilizing multimodal level of service as a metric, and installing electric vehicle charging stations. The Specific Plan requires more intense transportation demand management (TDM) measures if a developer proposes to reduce parking below the by-right levels. El Cerrito is drafting impact fees to implement the Complete Streets program.

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

Susan Friedland felt the Specific Plan is progressive and hopes the City will adopt similar policies.

Sadie Graham supported Ms. Friedland's comments. The community is ready for changes in parking standards and to prioritize space for housing over cars.

Maurice Catlett inquired about requirements for certain types of soil or rock in order to build submerged parking and for unbundled parking in affordable housing developments.

Mr. Moss indicated the building permit process requires a geotechnical study and soil analysis for a project with submerged parking.

Staff agreed to provide at a later time information regarding parking in affordable housing projects.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

7. PUBLIC COMMENT

DISCUSSIONS AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON MATTERS RELATED TO THE FOLLOWING 8. ITEMS:

8.1 PA 16-094 Design Review & Conditional Use Permit for a Second Story Addition at 1131 Evelyn - The applicant is seeking Design Review approval and a

Conditional Use Permit for a second-story addition at 1131 Evelyn. The subject site is 3,100 sq. ft. lot with a 2-bedroom, 1-bathroom, 1,109 sq. ft. house built in 1920. The applicant is proposing a 538 sq. ft. addition on the second floor to accommodate a new master suite, family room, bathroom and two additional bedrooms. The second-story addition is proposed to have balcony at the front of the home and a deck off the master bedroom. A conditional use permit is required to extend the existing non-conforming north wall vertically which is located 0.69 feet from the property line. The traditional architecture of the home is proposed to change to contemporary in appearance. This will result in a 4-bedroom, 2.5-bathroom, 1,640 sq. ft. home with a maximum height of 23 feet. Two off-street parking spaces are provided in the existing driveway.

Recommendation: Provide feedback to the applicant and staff. Draft findings and Conditions of Approval are included if the Commission decides to take action.

CEQA: The project is Categorically exempt pursuant to Section 15303 "New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures."

Associate Planner Christopher Tan presented the staff report.

Bill Wong, project designer, reported the chimney will be removed, and the second story will conform to Code requirements. The total square footage will be 1,647 for a floor area ratio of 53%. When asked, Mr. Wong advised that the applicant wanted a contemporary appearance for the home. The floor plans incorrectly show windows on the north side of the second story. What appears to be an eyebrow on the north elevation is a step-back of the second story. The railing on the second-floor front porch will be made of round pipe. Redwood trim will be used for the windows.

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

Yonie Overton, 1127 Evelyn, shared her experiences with landscapers for 1131 Evelyn treating her property as part of 1131 Evelyn. She requested assurances that her property and property rights will be respected during construction. The landscape plan seems to include portions of her property. She expressed concern about removal of the siding because it contains asbestos. When asked, she supported installation of a fence marking the property line.

Maurice Catlett expressed concerns about asbestos contaminating the air, soil, and water and requested assurance that precautions will be taken to prevent such contamination.

Tamiko Catlett wanted the yard at 1131 Evelyn cleaned.

2 3

1

4

5 6 7

8 9 10

12 13 14

15

11

16 17 18

20 21 22

23

19

35

36

37

30

38 39 40

41

42

43 44 45 Shirley Jowell, 1143 Evelyn, supported renovation of the house and cleaning of the yard. She was concerned about potential asbestos contamination.

Jennifer Adler expressed concerns about privacy because the second floor will be so close to the property line and about asbestos.

Chair Giesen-Fields stated the presence of asbestos composite shingles is not a known fact. If the siding does contain asbestos, the applicant will have to comply with State requirements for abatement of asbestos.

When asked, City Planner Anne Hersch reported standard conditions of approval regarding asbestos can be applied during the building permit process.

Mr. Wong indicated the standard practice is to test for asbestos. If asbestos is present, the appropriate contractor will be hired. The applicant will discuss the property line issue with the adjacent property owner. The proposed fence will be placed on the property line. When asked, Mr. Wong stated the property owner will decide whether the fence extends to the front yard.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

Commissioner Donaldson felt the plans could contain more detailing, particularly of the front facade, and more contemporary features. He suggested the applicant work with staff on fire-barrier windows. He could approve an extension of the nonconforming north wall because the 10-foot side yard provided a good separation between the project and the adjacent home. He questioned whether a different size or design of the ground-floor picture window would be more interesting. The landscape plan should be modified to conform with the survey. Removing the chimney will change the appearance of the front elevation and highlights the need for effective design. Perhaps the metal of the second-floor railing could be utilized as a support for the porch. The applicant should prepare a roof plan for the flat roof.

Commissioner Kent agreed with Commissioner Donaldson's comments regarding aesthetics. The front window is awkwardly placed. The landscape plan is not complete, and its details are not correct. Landscaping should match the style of the house.

Commissioner Jennings suggested staff share the draft residential design guidelines with the applicant. Revised plans should show corrections of site discrepancies and the proposed fence as agreed between the property owners. She was not concerned about extending the nonconforming setback line because the proposed condition is not a significant change from the existing condition. The applicant should focus on refining the design.

Chair Giesen-Fields concurred with Commissioners' recommendations. He could support extending the nonconforming wall, because the space between the two structures is

sufficient. He supported removal of the chimney and of the second-story windows. He 1 suggested the designer reconsider aligning the front picture window with the French 2 doors on the second story and add a wider mullion in the middle of the window. 3 Brackets at the eave overhangs could add modern details. Redwood trim around the 4 windows could provide more materiality to the elevations. A wood or metal railing on the 5 second floor would be acceptable. The elevations should show exterior downspouts. 6 7 8 Motion to continue PA 16-094 for 1131 Evelyn to a date uncertain. Donaldson Seconded by: Kent 9 AYES: Donaldson, Jennings, Kent, Menotti, Giesen-Fields 10 NAYES: None 11 ABSTAINING: 12 None ABSENT: None 13 14 Motion passed, 5-0 15 9. 16 ANNOUNCEMENTS/COMMUNICATIONS/DISCUSSION 17 City Planner Hersch announced the July 12 agenda includes an application for a 18 19 temporary use permit for the haunted house. 20 Commissioner Donaldson indicated he would not be present for the July 12 meeting. 21 22 When asked, City Planner Hersch reported an item for Orange Theory Fitness could be 23 presented to the Commission in July. 24 25 10. **NEXT MEETING: July 12, 2017** 26 27 28 10. **ADJOURNMENT** 29 30 The meeting was adjourned at 9:03 P.M. Next regular meeting: Wednesday, July 12, 2017 at 7:00 P.M. at Albany City Hall 31 32 33 34 35 Submitted by: Anne Hersch, City Planner 36 37 38 Jeff Bond, Community Development Director 39