Jeff Bond

michael tompkins [mchltmpkns7@yahoo.com] From:

Sent: Thursday, July 17, 2008 10:23 AM

Jeff Bond To:

Re: St Mary's Field Use Annual Report Subject:

Hi Jeff,

Unfortunately I'm way too entangled with work and family to have given this report careful scrutiny. I did give it a quick look and had some questions and comments.

-SM plans to hold an inaugural football game 11/15 or so. Does that mean that inspections equivalent to a certificate of occupacy will have been completed several months prior to completion of the project? Brother E stated at the groudbreaking (over a loudspeaker that broadcast it to the neighborhood) that at that time, 5/19, only 2/3 of the project funding was in place. I think we're all wondering whether aspects like landscaping can wait til, well, whenever the funding comes around.

-Lacrosse practices. I understood that Lacrosse would not use the field for practices, and that three home lacrosse games would be played. Lacrosse is particularly problematic from a noise standpoint for the neighbors. Compare field use patterns for '08 spring and '09 spring and note the addition of lacrosse.

- Saturday practices are to end at 3pm. note on '09 projections when they don't (4/13 forexample)

-Start times for baseball. Until the arrival of the current baseball coach, games always started at 3:30pm, as is league practice. This past season there were more than a handful of games that started late, some as late as 4:30, which made ending times run up to 7, and in one case well after 7. I would urge emphasis on keeping the traditional 3:30 start.

I can't make Tuesday's Commission meeting, but if I could I'd also ask that the contractor be far more consistent in dealing with dust from the project. Some days they're trying and some days we get covered with the stuff. Often large trucks and equipment are left idling by the property line and we choke on the fumes. We still haven't heard anything about the health effects of powdered lime from the proposed treatment. We have herbs, fruit and vegetables growing in our garden that we eat. We're constantly washing them already from the project's dirt and fumes. What will lime dust add?

Thanks for looking this over, Michael Tompkins

--- On Tue, 6/24/08, Jeff Bond <jbond@albanyca.org> wrote:

```
> From: Jeff Bond <jbond@albanyca.org>
```

> Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2008, 6:08 PM

> Hello everyone,

> Attached is a report prepared by the school per the field > renovation project conditions of approval. Given that this

> is the first report, the format or the information may not

> be exactly what is expected. I am happy to work with the

> school to get this to be a useful tool. (A more formal

> notice of its availability is in the process of going out

> to residents within 300 feet of the school.)

> I also anticipate reviewing this with the Planning and

> Subject: St Mary's Field Use Annual Report

> To: "Chris Hamilton (E-mail)" < DeDiemar@sbcqlobal.net>, "AmyTick (E-mail)" <AmyTick@aol.com>, "Rich and Dale Brown (E-mail)" <casabrown@earthlink.net>, "Lucas Guttentag (E-mail) | <| lguttentag@aclu.org>, | Michael Tompkins (E-mail) | <| mchltmpkns7 @yahoo.com>, "Andrew Watry (LNG-SFR) (E-mail)" <Andrew.Watry@lexisnexis.com>

---- Forwarded Message Date: Mon, 28 Apr 2008 14:01:32 -0700 To: <krautfowl@comcast.net> Cc: <mchltmpkns7@yahoo.com> Conversation: Sunday Field Noise at St. Mary's College High School Subject: Re: Sunday Field Noise at St. Mary's College High School Dear Ms. Fowler, No one should have been on the field. They had to have trespassed on our property in order get on the field. We will investigate this violation; if it was someone related to Saint Mary's we will handle the matter with discipline. If it was someone unrelated to the school, it will be difficult to track the trespasser down, but we do not approve of trespassing on our property. Before anyone presumes Saint Mary's has violated any agreements it is fair to allow us to investigate. Pete Imperial On 4/27/08 5:24 PM, "krautfowl@comcast.net" <krautfowl@comcast.net> wrote: > I looked up our agreement from October 16, 2007, Attachment I, and it clearly > states that the field is not to be used on Sundays-at all. That is my > understanding. > I first want to know if I misunderstand anything about that > agreement. At that meeting my final sentence was addressed to Mr. Imperial and > I > asked him, " Mr. Imperial, will there be any of your students or anyone at all > out on the fields making noise on a Sunday?" He responded unequivocally that > there would not be anyone using the fields. > Right now, there is an adult pitching a bucket of balls to a young man wearing > red shorts and hitting them with an aluminum bat. Neighbors have asked for > to be a phone number so that problems can be addressed immediately. To my > knowledge there has been no such number by which to reach an actual person > available to us. I suppose because no one want his or her Sunday ruined. > Unfortunately, I can only imagine what an undisturbed weekend might be like. > Will there be any consequences to the student for violating what we have been > made to understand is a school rule? Will there be any consequences to SMCHS > violating their agreement with neighbors? > At our last meeting, March 25, 2008, my comments addressed the notion that > are little to no "teeth" in the agreements with SMCHS. Agreements are made;

> group does not hold up its end of the bargain, and what happens? I'm really > asking. What will happen?

> Sincerely,

> Kristine Fowler

⁻⁻⁻⁻ End of Forwarded Message