Feasibility Worksheets Attachment 1: Glossary

Bioinfiltration Area

A type of low development treatment measure designed to have a surface ponding area that allows for evapotranspiration, and to filter water through 18 inches of engineered biotreatment soil. After the water filters through the engineered soil, it encounters a 12-inch layer of rock in which an underdrain is typically installed. If the underlying soils have a saturated hydraulic conductivity rate of 1.6" per hour or greater, then the C.3.d amount of runoff is treated by evapotranspiration and infiltration. If the soils have a lower hydraulic conductivity rate, then the bioinfiltration area treats stormwater with evapotranspiration, some infiltration, and the remaining amount of the C.3.d amount of runoff is filtered and released into the underdrain. The difference between a bioinfiltration area and a bioretention area is that the bioinfiltration area is never lined with an impermeable layer; whereas, a bioretention area may be lined or unlined.

Bioretention Area

A type of low development treatment measure designed to have a surface ponding area that allows for evapotranspiration, and to filter water through 18 inches of engineered biotreatment soil. After the water filters through the engineered soil, it encounters a 12-inch layer of rock in which an underdrain is typically installed. If the underlying soils have a saturated hydraulic conductivity rate of 1.6" per hour or greater, then the C.3.d amount of runoff is treated by evapotranspiration and infiltration. If the soils have a lower hydraulic conductivity rate, or if infiltration is prohibited and the bioretention area is lined with an impermeable layer, then the bioretention area treats stormwater with evapotranspiration, some or no infiltration, and the remaining amount of the C.3.d amount of runoff is filtered and released into the underdrain. The difference between a bioinfiltration area and a bioretention area is that the bioinfiltration area is never lined with an impermeable layer; whereas, a bioretention area may be lined or unlined.

Biotreatment

A type of low impact development treatment allowed under Provision C.3.c of the *MRP**, if infiltration, evapotranspiration and rainwater harvesting and use are infeasible. As required by Provision C.3.c.i(2)(vi), biotreatment systems shall be designed to have a surface area no smaller than what is required to accommodate a 5 inches/hour stormwater runoff surface loading rate and shall use biotreatment soil as specified in the biotreatment soil specifications approved by the Regional Water Board, or equivalent.

C.3 Regulated Projects:

Development projects as defined by Provision C.3.b.ii of the *MRP**. This includes public and private projects that create and/or replace 10,000 square feet or more of impervious surface, and restaurants, retail gasoline outlets, auto service facilities, and uncovered parking lots (stand-alone or part of another use) that create and/or replace 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surface. Single family homes that are not part of a larger plan of development are specifically excluded.

C.3.d Amount of Runoff

The amount of stormwater runoff from C.3 Regulated Projects that must receive stormwater treatment, as described by hydraulic sizing criteria in Provision C.3.d of the *MRP**.

Heritage Tree

An individual tree of any size or species given the 'heritage tree' designation as defined by the municipality's tree ordinance or other section of the municipal code.

Infiltration Devices

Infiltration facilities that are deeper that they are wide and designed to infiltrate stormwater runoff into the subsurface and, as designed, bypass the natural groundwater protection afforded by surface soil. These devices include dry wells, injection wells and infiltration trenches (includes French drains).

Infiltration Facilities

A term that refers to both infiltration devices and measures.

Infiltration Measures

Infiltration facilities that are wider than they are deep (e.g., bioinfiltration, infiltration basins and shallow wide infiltration trenches and dry wells).

Low Impact Development (LID) Treatment

Removal of pollutants from stormwater runoff using the following types of stormwater treatment measures: rainwater harvesting and use, infiltration, evapotranspiration, or, where these are infeasible, biotreatment.

Municipal Regional Stormwater Permit (MRP)

The municipal stormwater NPDES permit under which discharges are permitted from municipal separate storm sewer systems throughout Alameda County and the other NPDES Phase I jurisdictions within the San Francisco Bay Region.

Potential Rainwater Capture Area

The impervious area from which rainwater may be potentially be captured, if rainwater harvesting and use were implemented for a project. If the entire site is evaluated for rainwater harvesting and use feasibility, this consists of the impervious area of the proposed project; for redevelopment projects that replace 50% or more of the existing impervious surface, it also includes the areas of existing impervious surface that are not modified by the project. If only a roof area is evaluated for rainwater harvesting and use feasibility, the potential rainwater capture area consists only of the applicable roof area.

Screening Density

A threshold of density (e.g., number of units or interior floor area) per acre of impervious surface, associated with a certain potential demand for non-potable water, for C.3 regulated projects. The screening density varies according to location (see Attachment 2.) If the screening density is met or exceeded, the Rainwater Harvesting and Use Feasibility Worksheet must be completed for the project.

Self-Retaining Area

A portion of a development site designed to retain the first one inch of rainfall (by ponding and infiltration and/or evapotranspiration) without producing stormwater runoff. Self-retaining areas must have at least a 2:1 ratio of contributing area to a self-retaining area and a 3" ponding depth. Self-retaining areas may include graded depressions with landscaping or pervious pavement. **Areas that Contribute Runoff to Self-Retaining Areas** are impervious or partially pervious areas that drain to self-retaining areas.

Self-Treating Area

A portion of a development site in which infiltration, evapotranspiration and other natural processes remove pollutants from stormwater. Self-treating areas may include conserved natural open areas, areas of landscaping, green roofs and pervious pavement. Self-treating areas treat only the rain falling on them and do not receive stormwater runoff from other areas.

Special Projects

Certain types of smart growth, high density and transit oriented development projects that are allowed, under Provision C.3.e.ii of the MRP, to receive LID treatment reductions. The specific development project types will be described in an amendment to the MRP, anticipated in Fall 2011.

Total Project Cost

Total project cost includes the construction (labor) and materials cost of the physical improvements proposed; however, it does not include land, transactions, financing, permitting, demolition, or off-site mitigation costs.

Feasibility Worksheets Attachment 2: Toilet-Flushing Demand Required for Rainwater Harvesting Feasibility per Impervious Acre (IA)^{1,2}

Table 1 - Alameda County:

| | Required | Residential | | Office | /Retail⁵ | Schools ⁶ | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Rain Gauge ³ | Demand (gal/day/IA) ⁴ | No. of residents per IA ⁷ | Dwelling Units per IA ⁸ | Employees per IA ⁹ | Interior Floor Area (sq.ft./IA) ¹⁰ | Employees ¹¹ per IA | Interior Floor Area (sq.ft./IA) ¹² |
| Berkeley | 5,900 | 690 | 255 | 860 | 172,000 | 170 | 51,000 |
| Dublin | 4,100 | 480 | 177 | 590 | 118,000 | 120 | 36,000 |
| Hayward | 4,800 | 560 | 207 | 700 | 140,000 | 140 | 42,000 |
| Palo Alto | 2,900 | 340 | 125 | 420 | 84,000 | 90 | 27,000 |
| San Jose | 2,400 | 280 | 103 | 350 | 70,000 | 70 | 21,000 |

Notes:

- 1. Demand thresholds obtained from the "Harvest and Use, Infiltration and Evapotranspiration Feasibility/Infeasibility Criteria Report" (LID Feasibility Report) submitted to the Regional Water Board on May 1, 2011.
- 2. Toilet flushing demands assume use of low flow toilets per the California Green Building Code.
- 3. See Attachment 3 to identify the rain gauge that corresponds to the project site.
- 4. Required demand per acre of impervious area to achieve 80% capture of the C.3.d runoff volume with the maximum allowable drawdown time for cistern of 50,000 gallons or less, from Table 9 of the LID Feasibility Report.
- 5. "Office/Retail" includes the following land uses: office or public buildings, hospitals, health care facilities, retail or wholesale stores, and congregate residences.
- 6. "Schools" includes day care, elementary and secondary schools, colleges, universities, and adult centers.
- 7. Residential toilet flushing demand identified in Table 10 of the LID Feasibility Report.
- 8. Residential toilet flushing demand divided by the countywide average number of persons per household (US Census data reported on www.abag.org), as follows: Alameda County: 2.71 persons per household.
- 9. Office/retail employee toilet flushing demand identified in Table 10 of the LID Feasibility Report.
- 10. Interior floor area required for rainwater harvest and use feasibility per acre of impervious area is based on the number of employees in Column 5 multiplied by an occupant load factor of 200 square feet per employee (reference: 2010 California Plumbing Code, Chapter 4, Plumbing Fixtures and Fitting Fixtures, Table A, page 62.)
- 11. School employee toilet flushing demand identified in Table 10 of the LID Feasibility Report. Each school employee represents 1 employee and 5 "visitors" (students and others).
- 12. Interior floor area required for rainwater harvest and use feasibility per acre of impervious area is based on the number of employees in Column 7 multiplied by 6 to account for visitors, then multiplied by an occupant load factor of 50 square feet per employee (reference: 2010 California Plumbing Code).

LID Feasibility Worksheet

Attachment 3:

Excerpts from BASMAA's Feasibility/Infeasibility Criteria Report

- Figure A6: Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (Ksat) and Precipitation Polygons, Alameda County, CA
- Table 8: Required Cistern Volume and Demand per Acre of Impervious Area to Achieve 80% Capture with a 48-hour Drawdown Time
- Table 9: Required Cistern Volume and Demand per Acre of Impervious Area to Achieve 80% Capture with the Longer Drawdown Time Allowable (Minimum Demand) for Cistern of 50,000 Gallons or Less
- Table 10: TUTIA Ratios for Typical Land Uses for Rain Gauges Analyzed
- Table 11: EIATIA Ratios for Rain Gauges Analyzed
- Figure F1: Percent Capture Achieved by BMP Storage Volume with Various Drawdown Times for 1 Acre, 100% Impervious Tributary Area: Berkeley
- Figure F3: Percent Capture Achieved by BMP Storage Volume with Various Drawdown Times for 1 Acre, 100% Impervious Tributary Area: Dublin
- Figure F4: Percent Capture Achieved by BMP Storage Volume with Various Drawdown Times for 1 Acre, 100% Impervious Tributary Area: Hayward
- Figure F8: Percent Capture Achieved by BMP Storage Volume with Various Drawdown Times for 1 Acre, 100% Impervious Tributary Area: Palo Alto
- Figure F11: Percent Capture Achieved by BMP Storage Volume with Various Drawdown Times for 1 Acre, 100% Impervious Tributary Area: San Jose



P:\GIS\BASMAA_LID\Projects\MRP_Soils_Alameda.mxd, WHL, February 28, 2011

Geosyntec

| Rain Gauge | Drawdown Time (hr.) | Required Cistern Size (gallons) | Required Demand (gal/day) | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Berkeley | 48 | 23,000 | 11,500 | |
| Brentwood | 48 | 19,000 | 9,500 | |
| Dublin | 48 | 21,000 | 10,500 | |
| Hayward | 48 | 23,500 | 11,750 | |
| Lake Solano | 48 | 29,000 | 14,500 | |
| Martinez | 48 | 23,000 | 11,500 | |
| Morgan Hill | 48 | 25,500 | 12,750 | |
| Palo Alto | 48 | 16,500 | 8,250 | |
| San Francisco | 48 | 20,000 | 10,000 | |
| San Francisco Oceanside | 48 | 19,000 | 9,500 | |
| San Jose | 48 | 15,000 | 7,500 | |

Table 8: Required Cistern Volume and Demand per Acre of Impervious Area to Achieve 80% Capture with a48-hour Drawdown Time

If a longer drawdown time (and lower minimum demand) is desired, Table 9 includes the maximum drawdown time allowable to achieve 80 percent capture for a cistern sized at 50,000 gallons or less per acre of impervious area, along with the required cistern sizes and daily demands.

| Rain Gauge | Drawdown Time (hr.) | Required Cistern Size (gallons) | Required Demand (gal/day) |
|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Berkeley | 180 | 44,000 | 5,900 |
| Brentwood | 240 | 42,000 | 4,200 |
| Dublin | 240 | 41,000 | 4,100 |
| Hayward | 240 | 47,500 | 4,800 |
| Lake Solano | 120 | 45,000 | 9,000 |
| Martinez | 180 | 44,000 | 5,900 |
| Morgan Hill | 180 | 49,000 | 6,500 |
| Palo Alto | 360 | 44,000 | 2,900 |
| San Francisco | 240 | 45,500 | 4,600 |
| San Francisco Oceanside | 240 | 43,000 | 4,300 |
| San Jose | 480 | 48,000 | 2,400 |

| Table 9: Required Cistern Volume and Demand per Acre of Impervious Area to Achieve 80% Capture with |
|---|
| the Longer Drawdown Time Allowable (Minimum Demand) for Cistern of 50,000 Gallons or Less |

Prepared for BASMAA Final Report

Geosyntec[>]

| | Required | Toilet Users per Impervious Acre (TUTIA) ² | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------|-------|---------|------|------------|-------|--|
| Rain Gauge | Demand ¹ (gal/day) | Residential | | Office/Retail | | Schools | | Industrial | | |
| | | Current | CGBC ³ | Current | CGBC | Current | CGBC | Current | CGBC | |
| Assumed Per Capita Use per Day (gal/day) ⁴ | | 18 | 8.6 | 14 | 6.9 | 66 | 34 | 11 | 5.4 | |
| Berkeley | 5,900 | 320 | 690 | 420 | 860 | 90 | 170 | 540 | 1,090 | |
| Brentwood | 4,200 | 230 | 490 | 300 | 610 | 60 | 120 | 380 | 780 | |
| Dublin | 4,100 | 220 | 480 | 290 | 590 | 60 | 120 | 370 | 760 | |
| Hayward | 4,800 | 260 | 560 | 340 | 700 | 70 | 140 | 440 | 890 | |
| Lake Solano | 9,000 | 490 | 1050 | 640 | 1,300 | 140 | 270 | 820 | 1,670 | |
| Martinez | 5,900 | 320 | 690 | 420 | 860 | 90 | 170 | 540 | 1090 | |
| Morgan Hill | 6,500 | 350 | 760 | 460 | 940 | 100 | 190 | 590 | 1,200 | |
| Palo Alto | 2,900 | 160 | 340 | 210 | 420 | 40 | 90 | 260 | 540 | |
| San Francisco | 4,600 | 250 | 530 | 330 | 670 | 70 | 140 | 420 | 850 | |
| San Francisco Oceanside | 4,300 | 230 | 500 | 310 | 620 | 70 | 130 | 390 | 800 | |
| San Jose | 2,400 | 130 | 280 | 170 | 350 | 40 | 70 | 220 | 440 | |

Table 10: TUTIA Ratios for Typical Land Uses for Rain Gauges Analyzed

Footnotes:

¹ For a 50,000 or less gallon tank to achieve 80 percent capture within maximum allowable drawdown time (Table 9).

 2 The TUTIA ratios are based on employee toilet users per impervious acre. These ratios were calculated using the daily toilet and urinal water usage from Table 5, which are per employee and encompass usage by visitors and students within the daily demand (assumes about 5 students per school employee).

³ CGBC = California Green Building Code Requirements water usage accounting for water conservation.

⁴ From Table 5, Toilet and Urinal Water Usage per Resident or Employee.

EIATA Ratios

Comparing the required daily demands for rainwater harvesting systems for both 48-hour drawdown times and maximum drawdown times to daily demands per irrigated acre, it becomes evident that the required demands are many times larger than irrigation demands. This can be translated into an 'Effective Irrigated Area to Impervious Area' (EIATIA) ratio by dividing the required rainwater harvesting system demand by the daily irrigation demand (shown in Table 7). Since both demands are calculated on a per acre basis, the EIATIA ratio represents the number of acres of irrigated area needed per acre of impervious surface to meet the demand needed for 80 percent capture. EIATIA ratios were analyzed for the rain gauges used for analysis and the evapotranspiration data listed in Table F-1. These ratios, as well as the required total imperviousness (assuming a project includes the impervious tributary area and the irrigated area only) are included in Table 11.

Prepared for BASMAA Final Report

Geosyntec Consultants

| | | | Conser | vation Lanc | lscaping | Turf Areas | | |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|
| Rain | Required Daily Demand ¹ | ET Data | Demand per Irrigated | | Resultant Imper- viousness | Demand per Irrigated | | Resultant Imper- viousness |
| Gauge | (gal/day) | Location | Acre | EIATIA | (%) | Acre | EIATIA | (%) |
| Berkeley | 5,900 | Oakland | 420 | 14.0 | 7% | 850 | 6.9 | 13% |
| Brentwood | 4,200 | Brentwood | 420 | 10.0 | 9% | 850 | 4.9 | 17% |
| Dublin | 4,100 | Pleasanton | 430 | 9.5 | 9% | 850 | 4.8 | 17% |
| Hayward | 4,800 | Fremont | 520 | 9.2 | 10% | 1,040 | 4.6 | 18% |
| Lake Solano | 9,000 | Fairfield | 420 | 21.4 | 4% | 840 | 10.7 | 9% |
| Martinez | 5,900 | Martinez | 380 | 15.5 | 6% | 760 | 7.8 | 11% |
| Morgan Hill | 6,500 | Morgan Hill | 500 | 13.0 | 7% | 1,000 | 6.5 | 13% |
| Palo Alto | 2,900 | Redwood City | 450 | 6.4 | 13% | 900 | 3.2 | 24% |
| San Francisco | 4,600 | San Francisco | 360 | 12.8 | 7% | 720 | 6.4 | 14% |
| San Francisco Oceanside | 4,300 | San Francisco | 360 | 11.9 | 8% | 720 | 6.0 | 14% |
| San Jose | 2,400 | San Jose | 470 | 5.1 | 16% | 940 | 2.6 | 28% |

Table 11: EIATIA Ratios for Rain Gauges Analyzed

Footnotes:

¹ To achieve 80 percent capture within maximum allowable drawdown time (Table 9).

² Closest location selected, from Table F-1.

³ From Table 7.

3.3.3 Summary

In summary, TUTIA ratios indicate that dense land uses would be required to provide the needed demand to make rainwater harvesting feasible in the MRP area. A project must have sufficiently high toilet flushing uses to achieve 80 percent capture within the maximum allowable drawdown time (see Table 9 for maximum allowable drawdown time for a 50,000 gallon tank or less). For instance, approximately 280 to 1,050 residential toilet users (roughly 90 – 130 dwelling units per acre⁵) are required, depending on location, per impervious acre to meet the demand needed for 80 percent capture with the maximum allowable drawdown time and CA Green Building Code flush requirements. Meeting the demand requirements would entail a very dense housing

⁵ Assuming three residents per dwelling unit.









