City of Albany

Planning and Zoning Commission Minutes December 13, 2011, Meeting

1 2 Note: These minutes are subject to Planning and Zoning Commission approval. The minutes are not 3 verbatim. An audiotape of the meeting is available for public review. 4 5 **Regular Meeting** 6 7 1. Call to order- The meeting of the Planning and Zoning Commission was called to order by 8 Chair Moss, in the City Council Chambers at 7:30 p.m. on Tuesday, December 13, 2011. 9 10 2. Pledge of Allegiance 11 3. Roll Call 12 Present: Arkin, Eisenmann, Maass, Moss, Panian 13 Absent: 14 Staff present: Jeff Bond, Community Development Director 15 City Planner Anne Hersch 16 17 4. Consent Calendar 18 19 Meeting Minutes from October 11, 2011 Planning & Zoning Commission meeting 20 21 Commissioner Panian P5. Last paragraph noted a typo to be corrected. 22 23 Motion to approve item 4a: **Commissioner Panian** 24 25 Seconded by: **Commissioner Moss** 26 27 Arkin, Eisenmann, Moss, Maass, Panian Ayes: 28 Navs: None 29 Motion passed, 5-0. 30 31 b. PA 11-062 1471 Portland Ave, Design Review Amendment to previously approved 2nd 32 Story and remodel- The subject property is a 5,000 square foot lot with an existing 1,053 33 square foot one-story single-family residence. Previously, the Planning & Zoning 34 Commission reviewed and approved Design Review and a Conditional Use Permit for an 35 887 sq. ft. 2nd story addition. The applicant is seeking an amendment to increase the new 36 building area by an additional 264.88 sq. ft. on the first and second stories and tower element, creating a 2,205 sq. ft. home. 37 38 Recommendation: Approve 39 40 Motion to approve item 4b: **Commissioner Panian** 41 42 **Commissioner Eisenmann** Seconded by:

Arkin, Eisenmann, Moss, Maass, Panian

43 44

Ayes:

1 Navs: None 2 Motion passed, 5-0. 3 4 c. PA 11-060: 600 San Carlos Home Addition & Parking Exception- The subject property is a 5 6,958 square foot lot with an existing 1,539 square foot two-story single-family residence. 6 The applicant is proposing to add a 264 sq. ft. to first and second stories of the home at the 7 rear of the property. A parking exception is also being sought to allow one off street space. 8 Recommendation: Approve 9 10 Commissioner Arkin asked to pull item 4c for discussion. He commended the applicant for 11 project design. He had two concerns about the project including the window design 12 proposed for the former garage area and the request for parking exception given that there is 13 room for two legal off-street parking spaces. He did not believe a parking exception is 14 warranted. He also requested that a more vertical proportion be incorporated for the new 15 windows. 16 17 Commissioner Eisenmann also complimented the project architect on the home design. She 18 suggested that false relief could be used to create articulation. 19 20 Christy Jobe, project architect, Canyon Design Build, noted that the windows had been 21 designed in their current iteration for privacy purposes. She was amenable to including false 22 relief details and include six pane windows as a Condition of Approval. 23 24 Commissioner Panian agreed with Commissioner Arkin on the parking exception. Since no 25 permanent structures were planned for that portion of the property, a parking exception was 26 not necessary. 27 28 29 Motion to approve item 4c on the Consent Calendar with the following additional 30 condition: 31 32 The new window detail which will be installed on the former garage elevation shall be modified to 33 include three (3) - six (6) mullion details with stucco trim and shall be vertically proportional to 34 existing window details. The applicant shall work with staff on the window detail design. 35 36 Motion: **Commissioner Arkin** 37 38 Seconded by: Commissioner Maass 39 40 Arkin, Eisenmann, Moss, Maass, Panian Ayes: 41 Nays: None 42 Motion passed, 5-0. 43

5. Public Comment on Non-Agenda Items

44

45

| 1 2 | Commissioner Arkin- CAL Energy Efficiency workshop has been scheduled to be held at the Albany Senior Center on January 25, 2012. | | | |
|--------|---|---|--|--|
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 5 | residents were encouraged to submit pictures of their Christmas light displays | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | 6. | PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | a. | PA 07-084: 1100 Portland Condominium Conversion- Preparation of a Regulatory | | |
| 10 | | Agreement Requiring One Unit of the Completed Residential Project at 1100 Portland | | |
| 11 | Avenue to comply with the City's Affordable Housing Ordinance. | | | |
| 12 | | Recommendation: That the Commission make a recommendation to the City Council to | | |
| 13 | | Approve a Regulatory Agreement | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | Community Development Director Jeff Bond asked that this item be continued to a date | | |
| 16 | | certain of January 10, 2012 so that the applicant may prepare additional exhibits for review. | | |
| 17 | | certain of junuary 10, 2012 so that the applicant may prepare additional exhibits for review. | | |
| 18 | | Motion to continue: Commissioner Panian | | |
| 19 | | Motion to continue. Commissioner Laman | | |
| 20 | | Seconded by Commissioner Moss | | |
| | | Seconded by: Commissioner Moss | | |
| 21 | | A Adda Mass Mass Device | | |
| 22 | | Ayes: Arkin, Moss, Maass, Panian | | |
| 23 | | Abstain: Eisenmann | | |
| 24 | | Nays: None | | |
| 25 | | Motion passed, 4-0. | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | b. | Report on the Golden Gate Fields/Albany Waterfront Task Force. The University of | | |
| 28 | | California has determined that a decision regarding locating the proposed second campus of | | |
| 29 | | the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory will be announced in January. | | |
| 30 | | Recommendation: For information only | | |
| 31 | | | | |
| 32 | | Mr. Bond presented the staff report dated December 13, 2012. He noted that the delay by the | | |
| 33 | University of California announcing a lab location has resulted in the Stronach Group (owner | | | |
| 34 | of Golden Gate Fields-one of sites being considered) would no longer allocate funds for | | | |
| 35 | public outreach and conceptual site development. | | | |
| 36 | | | | |
| 37 | He commended the efforts of the Waterfront Taskforce Committee involved with the review | | | |
| 38 | process and public discussions. | | | |
| 39 | process and public discussions. | | | |
| 40 | PUBLIC HEARING OPENED. | | | |
| 41 | | LULLE LLIMING OF LINED. | | |
| 42 | | Anonymous Speaker- supported the lab at the Golden Gate Fields location. | | |
| 43 | Thony mous openior supported the last at the coluctionate relations to autorit | | | |
| 44 | | PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED. | | |
| | | | | |

45

| 1 2 3 4 5 | | Commissioner Arkin- noted that for this to make the ballot for compliance with Measure C, would have to be submitted to the City by March. Potentially, there would be a public vote as well as a development agreement to accompany the project, should the site be selected. He commended those who volunteered their Sunday evenings to participate in the Waterfront Taskforce Committee meetings. | | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 6 7 8 9 10 | c. | PA 11-059: 817 Ramona St. 2 nd Addition - The subject property is a 3,334 sq. ft. lot with a single-story 1,251 sq. ft., home. The applicant is seeking Design Review approval for a 154 sq. ft. first floor addition and a 515 sq. ft. second story addition. <i>Recommendation: Approve</i> | | | |
| 2 | | Commissioner Eisenmann recused herself from his item. | | | |
| 4 | | City Planner Anne Hersch, presented the staff report dated December 13, 2011. | | | |
| 6 17 | | Commissioner Arkin-what is the minimum required driveway distance in the Zoning Code | | | |
| 8 | | Ms. Hersch indicated that it is 8'6". | | | |
| 20 21 | | Howard McNenny, project architect, made himself available to answer Commission questions. | | | |
| 22 23 24 25 26 | project. He thought the proportion of the addition was reasonably well designed. He have an issue with the roof slopes. He suggested a second window in the stairwell the north side of the home. | | | | |
| 27 28 29 | | Commissioner Maass - are the existing windows sliders? If so, have those been considered to be removed? | | | |
| 30 31 32 | | Mr. McNenny noted that some of the windows are new and will not necessarily be removed. | | | |
| 33 34 35 36 37 | | Commissioner Panian - generally keeps with overall character, though it is a fairly large bulky additional with multiple roof slopes. The design could have been simplified. He suggested simple casement windows. Overall, the addition is fairly well integrated in the neighborhood. | | | |
| 38 39 | | Commissioner Moss- appreciates the 2^{nd} story mass being broken up. Windows are well done. He can support the application. | | | |
| 10 11 12 | | Motion to approve with the recommendation that the applicant work with staff on the rear deck/trellis: | | | |
| 13 14 | | Motion: Commissioner Maass | | | |

45

| 1 | | Seconded by: Commissioner Arkin |
|------------|---|--|
| 2 | | Arrest Addin Mass Mass Panisa |
| 3 4 | | Ayes: Arkin, Moss, Maass, Panian Recused: Eisenmann |
| | | |
| 5 | | Nays: None |
| 6 7 | | Motion passed, 4-0. |
| 8 | a D | A 11-061: 1134 Stannage St. Design Review & Conditional Use Permit- The subject |
| 9 | | roperty is a 5,105 sq. ft. lot with a single-story 794 sq. ft., home. The applicant is seeking |
| 9 10 | - | Design Review approval for a first floor 164 sq. ft. and a second story 540 sq. ft. addition. A |
| 11 | | Conditional Use Permit is also being sought the vertical extension of the non-conforming |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | north wall with a setback distance of 1'8.5" Recommendation: Approve | |
| 14 | 1 | ecommendation. Approve |
| 15 | (| Commisioner Arkin recused himself as his office designed the proposed project. |
| 16 | | commissioner rinkin recuseu minisen us mis office designed the proposed project. |
| 17 | λ | As. Hersch presented the staff report dated December 13, 2011. |
| 18 | | 2011. |
| 19 | A | Annie Tilt, Arkin Tilt Architects, was available to answer questions. |
| 20 | | 1 |
| 21 | C | Commissioner Panian-why is the applicant seeking a Conditional Use Permit for the wall |
| 22 | | xtension? |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | Ν | Is. Tilt noted that the extension of the existing wall will allow the same volume to be |
| 25 | | naintained. It's also structurally simpler. |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | P | UBLIC HEARING OPENED. |
| 28 | | |
| 29 | N | lo speakers were present. |
| 30 | | |
| 31 | P | UBLIC HEARING CLOSED. |
| 32 | | |
| 33 | C | Commissioner Maass-likes the mixed use of board and batten with vertical siding and |
| 34 | \mathbf{s} | hingles. Design is attractive. Since the request is small, it is easier to support the Conditional |
| 35 | U | Jse Permit request. |
| 36 | | |
| 37 | C | Commissioner Panian- remarkable transformation of the home. The Conditional Use Permit |
| 38 | fi | ndings can be made for the project. |
| 39 | | |
| 40 | C | Commissioner Eisenmann- language of the materials is lovely. It's a well designed addition. |
| 41 | | |
| 42 | C | Commissioner Moss-supports the project request. |
| 43 | | |
| 44 | | Motion to approve: Commissioner Panian |
| 4 5 | | |

| 1 | | Seconded by: Commissioner Eisenmann |
|--------|----|---|
| 2 | | Arrest Figures Mass Mass Paris |
| 3 4 | | Ayes: Eisenmann, Moss, Maass, Panian Recused: Arkin |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | J |
| 7 | | Motion passed, 4-0. |
| 8 | _ | 019 Comits St. 2nd Story Addition & Barling Execution. The applicant is scaling Design |
| 9 | e. | 918 Cerrito St. 2nd Story Addition & Parking Exception- The applicant is seeking Design |
| 10 | | Review approval for a 2 nd story addition to the home at 918 Cerrito St. The applicant is seeking Design Review approval for a 588 sq. ft. |
| 11 | | Recommendation: Provide feedback to the applicant and staff |
| 12 | | Recommendation: Provide Jeedoack to the applicant and stajj |
| 13 | | Ma Harsch presented the staff report dated December 12, 2011. She noted that the applicant |
| 14 | | Ms. Hersch presented the staff report dated December 13, 2011. She noted that the applicant |
| 15 | | submitted a letter addressing the revisions. Also, additional correspondence was received |
| 16 | | from neighbors concerned about the project request. |
| 17 | | Howard McNenny, project architect, he suggested that the his alternative interpretation of |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | calculating Floor Area Ratio (FAR) excluding the garage area and including only the north |
| 20 | | and south elevations was a feasible way to allow an addition at the subject home. If the Code |
| 21 | | were strictly interpreted, the home was already maxed out for building area. |
| 22 | | PUBLIC HEARING OPENED. |
| 23 | | FUDLIC HEARING OF ENED. |
| 24 | | Tanya Philling cumports the project request |
| 25 | | Tanya Phillips-supports the project request. |
| 26 | | Medhi Hosseini-does not support the project request with the FAR calculations as they have |
| 27 | | been presented. The calculations do not comply with the Zoning Code. The applicant has a |
| 28 | | right pursue an addition, but perhaps the scope could be reduced. |
| 29 | | right pursue an addition, but perhaps the scope could be reduced. |
| 30 | | PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED. |
| 31 | | TODEIC TEARING CLOSED. |
| 32 | | Commissioner Moss-noted that the basement area and garage were counted twice. Rear |
| 33 | | dimensions weren't properly shown. |
| 34 | | uniterisions weren't property shown. |
| 35 | | Commissioner Arkin the current Code requirements were crafted with the thought of |
| 36 | | Commissioner Arkin -the current Code requirements were crafted with the thought of previous applications where there were concerns regarding precedent. He suggested |
| 37 | | counting the building height from half the depth of the garage to calculate average perimeter |
| 38 | | |
| 39 | | height. |
| 40 | | Commissioner Panian the application is non-compliant and incomplete. The perimeter is a |
| 41 | | Commissioner Panian -the application is non-compliant and incomplete. The perimeter is a well defined element with four sides, and four measurements should be included. |
| 42 | | wen defined element with four sides, and four measurements should be included. |
| 42 | | Commissioner Eisenmann -is there a way to calculate the back half of the home? |
| 44 | | Commissioner Eisenmann-is mere a way to calculate the back han of the nome! |
| 17 | | |

| 1 2 | Commissioner Maass -agrees with Commissioner Panian's interpretation-four sides need to be counted. | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3 | be counted. | | | | |
| 4 5 | Due to lot size of 2,500 sq. ft., Commissioner Moss asked if the Commission could suppor variance for the project if the mass were reduced on proposed addition. | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | Commissioner Panian did not agree that a small lot is a hardship, and a variance was not the | | | | |
| 8 9 | proper tool for this project. | | | | |
| 10 | The Commission agreed that there wasn't enough information on the plans to take action | | | | |
| 11 12 | The Commission agreed that there wasn't enough information on the plans to take action They also expressed concern about the roofline and project bulk. | | | | |
| 13 14 | Mr. McNenny proposed purchasing the adjacent strip of land immediately north. | | | | |
| 15 | The Commission agreed that additional land would positively impact the project and expand | | | | |
| 16 | the applicant's development rights. | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | Mr. McNenny asked if a variance would be feasible if additional land could not be | | | | |
| 19 | purchased. | | | | |
| 20 | • | | | | |
| 21 | Commissioner Maass did not support the variance request and did not see a hardship for this | | | | |
| 22 | particular property or application request. | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | Motion to continue to a date uncertain: Commissioner Arkin | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | Seconded by: Commissioner Panian | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | Ayes: Arkin, Eisenmann, Moss, Maass, Panian | | | | |
| 29 | Nays: None | | | | |
| 30 | Motion passed, 5-0. | | | | |
| 31 32 | 7. NEW BUSINESS | | | | |
| 33 | 7. NEW BUSINESS | | | | |
| 34 | None. | | | | |
| 35 | Tronc. | | | | |
| 36 | 8. Announcements/Communications: | | | | |
| 37 | a. City of Albany Planning and Zoning Update "E-Notification" | | | | |
| 38 | b. Update on City Council agenda items related to Planning and Zoning activities. | | | | |
| 39 | c. Review of status of major projects and scheduling of upcoming agenda items | | | | |
| 40 | | | | | |
| 41 | Ms. Hersch noted that the University Village project would be scheduled for a future | | | | |
| 42 | Council hearing in January. | | | | |

9. Future Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting Agenda Items:

42 43

44

45 46 a. Next Regular Planning and Zoning Commission hearing scheduled for Tuesday, January 10, 2012.

Draft Minutes of the Planning and Zoning Commission December 13, 2011 Page 8

| 1 | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| 2 | 10. Adjournment | | |
| 3 The meeting was adjourned at 9:45 p.m. | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | Next regular meeting: | Tuesday, January 10, 2012, 7:30 p.m. at Albany City Hall | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | Submitted by: Anne Hersch, City Planner | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | Jeff Bond | | |
| 13 | Community Developmen | nt Director | |