

THE FACTS

We all have to make a living. Breathing secondhand smoke shouldn't be a condition of employment.

Did You Know Not All California Workplaces Are Smoke-Free?

• When California's Smoke-Free Workplace law (Labor Code Section 6404.5) became effective in 1995, it was a landmark piece of legislation. No other state had a similar law for eight years. However, we can no longer claim that California is a national leader in protecting workers from secondhand smoke exposure on the job because:

- California is not considered a 100% smoke-free state by the nation's leading public health agency, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Twenty-four other states and the District of Columbia are considered to have 100% smoke-free indoor workplaces – leaving California far behind.¹
- Exemptions and loopholes in California law mean that employees and patrons of certain businesses continue to be exposed to the toxic effects of secondhand smoke, even indoors. In fact, 1 in 7 California workers (13.5%) report being exposed to secondhand smoke in the workplace.²

• **The only way to protect people** from breathing secondhand smoke inside is to require all workplaces and public places to be smoke-free. Other approaches, such as smoking rooms or air ventilation systems, do not eliminate exposure to secondhand smoke.^{3,4}

Shouldn't ALL California Workers Breathe Smoke-Free Air?

- **Yes.** More than 90% of Californians approve of a law to protect workers from secondhand smoke exposure in the workplace.⁵
- Yet certain groups of Californians continue to have a higher risk of exposure to secondhand smoke. Unequal worker protection places young adults, Hispanics and low-income workers at higher risk of harm from secondhand smoke. Workers reporting the highest exposure to secondhand smoke include:

- Low-income workers:
 - 22.7% of those with a \$10,001 to \$20,000 annual household income; and
 - 16.6% of those with a \$20,001 to \$30,000 annual household income.
 - 25.5% of young adults (ages 18-24).
 - 19.2% of Hispanics.
- Because of gaps in California's Smoke-Free Workplace law, workers in the hospitality, service, and blue-collar employment sectors are most likely to be unprotected.

How Dangerous is Secondhand Smoke?

• Secondhand smoke contains at least 250 chemicals known to be toxic and/or cause cancer, including formaldehyde, benzene, vinyl chloride, arsenic, ammonia, and hydrogen cyanide.⁷

“Reducing health disparities is both a public health priority and a community responsibility. All California workers deserve equal protection from secondhand smoke to prevent the serious health risks such exposure is known to cause. Exposure to secondhand smoke should not be a condition of employment.”

- The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency classifies secondhand smoke as a Class “A” human carcinogen (cancer-causing agent), the same class as asbestos.⁸ The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health has concluded that secondhand smoke in the workplace is an occupational carcinogen.⁹
- The California Air Resources Board has declared secondhand smoke to be a toxic air contaminant, in the same category as diesel exhaust.¹⁰

• The U.S. Surgeon General has concluded that there is no risk-free level of exposure to secondhand smoke, ventilation cannot eliminate exposure of nonsmokers to secondhand smoke, and establishing smoke-free environments is the only proven way to prevent exposure.¹¹

- Secondhand smoke exposure causes disease and premature death in nonsmokers, including:
 - Lung cancer
 - Heart disease
 - Respiratory diseases
- Nonsmokers who are frequently exposed to high levels of secondhand smoke increase their risk of developing heart disease by 25-30%, and lung cancer by 20-30%.¹²

What are the Costs and Benefits of Being Smoke-Free?

- Nationally, the annual direct medical care costs associated with secondhand smoke exposure is estimated to be \$5 billion and another \$5 billion for indirect costs.¹³

- Smoke-free laws have been found to reduce the rate of heart attacks in communities by an average of 17% after one year and 26% after three years.¹⁴
- Smoke-free laws also promote smoking cessation; they increase quit attempts by smokers and decrease cigarette consumption.¹⁵
- Research shows that smoke-free policies and regulations do not have a negative impact on business revenues.¹⁶ Establishing smoke-free workplaces is the simplest and most cost effective way to improve employee and employer health.¹⁷

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Press Release, New CDC Report Says Increased Efforts, High-Impact Strategies Needed to Reduce Smoking and Save Lives*. April 23, 2010. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/media/pressrel/2010/r100423.htm>
- Data from the California Tobacco Survey, 2005, California Department of Public Health, California Tobacco Control Program.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Surgeon General. *The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General*. 2006. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/sgr/2006/index.htm
- American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers, Inc (ASHRAE). Position paper: environmental tobacco smoke. Atlanta, GA: ASHRA; 2005. Available at: http://www.ashrae.org/doclib/20058211239_347.pdf
- Data from the California Adult Tobacco Survey, 2007. California Department of Public Health, California Tobacco Control Program, March 2008.
- Data from the California Tobacco Survey, 2005, California Department of Public Health, California Tobacco Control Program.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Surgeon General. *The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General*. 2006. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/sgr/2006/index.htm
- US Environmental Protection Agency. *Press Release: EPA Designates Passive Smoking a "Class A" or Known Human Carcinogen*. January 7, 1993. Available at: <http://www.epa.gov/history/topics/smoke/01.htm>
- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Current Intelligence Bulletin 54: Environmental Tobacco Smoke in The Workplace: Lung Cancer and Other Health Effects*. June 1991. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/91108_54.html
- California Environmental Protection Agency, Air Resources Board. *News Release, California Identifies Secondhand Smoke as a "Toxic Air Contaminant."* January 26, 2006. Available at: <http://www.arb.ca.gov/newsrel/nr012606.htm>
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Surgeon General. *The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General*. 2006. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/sgr/2006/index.htm
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Surgeon General. *The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General*. 2006. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/sgr/2006/index.htm
- Behan, DF, et al., *Economic Effects of Environmental Tobacco Smoke*. Society of Actuaries, March 31, 2005. Available at: [http://www.soa.org/files/pdf/ETSReportFinalDraft\(Final%203\).pdf](http://www.soa.org/files/pdf/ETSReportFinalDraft(Final%203).pdf)
- Meyers D., Neuberger J., He, J. Cardiovascular Effect of Bans on Smoking in Public Places: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 54(14): 1256 – 1257, 2009. Available at: <http://content.onlinejacc.org/cgi/content/full/54/14/1249>
- Fichtenberg C. and Glantz S. Effect of Smoke-free Workplaces on Smoking Behaviour: Systematic Review. *BMJ* 325 : 188, 2002. Available at: <http://www.bmj.com/content/325/7357/188.full>
- Scollo M, Lal A, Hyland A, Glantz S. Review of the quality of studies on the economic effects of smoke-free policies on the hospitality industry. *Tobacco Control* 12 (1): 13-20, 2003. Available at: http://www.tobaccofreeair.org/METC_Smoke-FreeAirMaterials/SelectReferences/Economic_Impact_of_Smokefree%20Regs/Scollo%20Tob%20Control%202003.pdf
- Americans for Nonsmokers Rights. *Economic Impact of Smoke-free Ordinances: Overview*. 2006. Available at: <http://no-smoke.org/document.php?id=219>