

Appendix B: Climate Action Plan Strategies Calculations Detail and Assumptions

This appendix summarizes the assumptions and parameters used to calculate greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reduction performance of CAP measures.

Summary Table				
Measure Number and Title	Scaled % GHG Emission Reduction	GHG Emission Reduction (MT CO₂e/year)		
TL-1.1: Complete Streets	1.28%	930		
TL-1.4: Bike Parking	0.32%	240		
TL-1.5: Diversity	1.60%	1,160		
TL-2.4: Transit Stops and Safety Infrastructure	0.16%	120		
TL-3.1: Design	0.96%	700		
TL-3.2: Density	0.14%	110		
TL-3.4, TL-4.8, BE-2.4, BE-2.5, BE-3.3, BE-3.4: Public Education	0.10%	80		
TL-4.1: Jobs/Housing Balance	0.31%	230		
TL-4.4: TDM Program	1.58%	1,140		
BE-1.1: Renewable Energy Systems for City Buildings	0.21%	150		
BE-2.1, BE-2.2, BE-2.3: Residential Energy Efficiency Retrofit	1.65%	1,160		
BE-3.1, BE-3.2: Non-residential Energy Efficiency Retrofit	0.52%	380		
BE-4.1: Meet Green Building Code in New Construction	2.15%	1,550		
BE-5.1: Renewable Energy Retrofits	3.45%	2,410		
BE-5.2: Empowerment Districts	3.05%	2,200		
BE-6.2: Smart Grid	0.22%	170		
BE-6.3: LED Street Lights	0.09%	70		
BE-6.5: Comparative Energy Billing	0.18%	130		
WR-1.1: Waste Reduction Ordinance	3.07%	2,210		
WC-1.1, WC-2.1: Indoor Water Conservation	0.04%	30		
WC-1.2, WC-2.2: Outdoor Water Conservation	0.03%	20		
GI-1.1: Street Trees	0.18%	140		
Total (relative to 2020 projections)	21.29%	15,330		
Total (relative to 2004 emissions)	18.67%	15,330		

Municipal Building Measures

Measure BE-1.1: Install cost-effective renewable energy systems on all city buildings and purchase remaining electricity from renewable sources.

This measure is based on a three-tier approach to reducing energy consumption from the City's buildings. The first tier includes implementation of energy efficiency measures to reduce the amount of energy used by City buildings. The second tier includes the installation of renewable energy systems on City buildings to serve energy demands. The third tier includes purchasing all remaining energy demands from renewable sources (i.e., solar, wind, and hydroelectric sources). Implementation of this measure would reduce the total GHG emissions associated with all City buildings. The City was able to provide their current building energy consumption, which was used to calculate the GHG emission reduction using the same PG&E-specific emission factor used to calculate the City's GHG emissions associated with electricity consumption.

Measure value = 150 MT/year

Residential Energy Efficiency Retrofit Measures

Measure BE-2.1: Develop and implement voluntary point-of-sale residential energy and water efficiency upgrade requirements.

Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	Emissions Sector	Participation Rate	Scaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
6%	8.49% (Electricity)	4%	0.02%	20
14%	20.86% (Natural gas)	4%	0.13%	90
Total			0.15%	110

Sources of information:

California Energy Commission [CEC] 2003. Impact Analysis 2005 Update to the California Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings;

California Energy Commission [CEC] 2007. Impact Analysis 2008 Update to the California Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings

Measure BE-2.3: Develop and implement mandatory point-of-sale residential energy and water efficiency upgrade requirements.

These measures assume a performance standard of a 20% increase in energy efficiency in existing residential units.

Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	Emissions Sector	Participation Rate	Scaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
6%	8.49% (Electricity)	43%	0.21%	150
14%	20.86% (Natural gas)	43%	1.29%	900
Total			1.50%	1,050

Sources of information:

California Energy Commission [CEC] 2003. Impact Analysis 2005 Update to the California Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings;

California Energy Commission [CEC] 2007. Impact Analysis 2008 Update to the California Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings

Nonresidential Energy Efficiency Retrofit Measures

Measure BE-3.1: Develop and implement voluntary point-of-sale commercial energy efficiency upgrade requirements.

Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	Emissions Sector	Participation Rate	Scaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
6%	12.37% (electricity)	8%	0.06%	50
9%	11.66% (natural gas)	8%	0.08%	60
Total			0.14%	110

Sources of information:

California Energy Commission [CEC] 2003. Impact Analysis 2005 Update to the California Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings;

California Energy Commission [CEC] 2007. Impact Analysis 2008 Update to the California Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings

Measure BE-3.2: Identify and develop financial incentives and low-cost financing products and programs to support mandatory point-of-sale investments in energy efficiency and renewable energy for existing commercial buildings.

These measures would improve energy efficiency of commercial buildings by 15% for both natural gas and electricity consumption.

Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	Emissions Sector	Participation Rate	Scaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
6%	12.37% (electricity)	21%	0.16%	120
9%	11.66% (natural gas)	21%	0.21%	150
Total			0.38%	270

Sources of information:

California Energy Commission [CEC] 2003. Impact Analysis 2005 Update to the California Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings;

California Energy Commission [CEC] 2007. Impact Analysis 2008 Update to the California Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings

Measure BE-4.1: Ensure new construction complies with Green California Green Building Code Standards and Albany Green Building Ordinance. Values shown below may not appear to add exactly because of rounding.

Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	Emissions Inventory (MT GHG/year from new growth)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
44.84% (residential electricity)	558	250
35.95% (residential natural gas)	2,512	900
33.43% (non-residential electricity)	1,010	340
36.58% (non-residential natural gas)	160	60
Total		1,550

Sources of information:

California Energy Commission [CEC] 2007. Impact Analysis 2008 Update to the California Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings

Measure BE-5.1: Develop comprehensive renewable energy financing and informational program for residential and commercial uses.

It was assumed that 100% of electricity would be generated by renewable energy for all participating (assumed 20%) units from solar panels and a 70% reduction in natural gas would occur for solar water heating.

Strategy	Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	Emissions Sector	Sub Sector	Participation Rate	Scaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
Solar panels	100%	8.49% (electricity, residential)	1	20%	1.70%	1,190
Solar water heaters	70%	20.86% (natural gas, residential)	60%	20%	1.75%	1,220
	70%	11.53% (natural gas, non-residential)	40%	20%	0.65%	465
Total	Total					2,410

Measure BE-5.2: Identify and facilitate solar energy empowerment districts in commercial, industrial, mixed-use portions of City.

This measure is based on the availability of commercial, industrial, and mixed-use roof space for the installation of solar panels. Available commercial, industrial, and mixed-use roof square footage was determined using ArcGIS software. The amount of feasible solar panel square footage was calculated assuming 65% of the total roof space could be used for solar panels. A participation rate of 40% was applied to the total square footage.

The solar potential of the feasible roof space was calculated using assumptions regarding the wattage potential and hours of operation. Solar panels were assumed to generate 10 watts per square foot and operate for at this capacity for 4 hours per day. These assumptions were used to calculate the total kilowatt-hours generated from implementation of the measure. The GHG reduction potential of this measure was calculated using the same PG&E-specific electricity consumption emission factor used to calculate the City's GHG emissions associated with electricity consumption.

Solar Photovoltaic:

Percent of Feasible Solar Roof	Participation Rate	Solar Potential (watts/square foot)	Operational Time (hours/year)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
65%	40%	10	1,460	1,745

Solar Hot water:

Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	Emissions Sector	Sub Sector	Participation Rate	Scaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
70%	11.53% (natural gas, non- residential)	40%	20%	0.65%	465

Total Measure performance = 2,210 MT/yr

Sources of information:

California Energy Commission [CEC] 2005. Electricity Usage During Peak Periods. Available: http://www.energy.ca.gov/electricity/peak loads.html

Measure BE-6.2: Partner with other neighboring cities and PG&E to fast-track "Smart Grid" integration in City.

This measure would catalyze the City's integration into the "Smart Grid" system. The "Smart Grid" system would help the City manage and serve its electricity demand more efficiently in every demand scenario (e.g., peak, offpeak). The City's integration into the "Smart Grid" system is anticipated to reduce total electricity consumption from both the residential and non-residential sector by 4%.

Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	Emissions Sector (Electricity)	Participation Rate	Scaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
4%	9.01% (residential)	25%	0.09%	70
4%	13.40% (non-residential)	25%	0.13%	100
Total			0.22%	170

Measure BE-6.3: Work with County to convert all street lights to LED bulbs or LED-Solar systems.

This measure is based on the energy efficiency of LED bulbs or LED-solar systems with respect to the existing street light system. The GHG emission reduction potential of this measure was calculated conservatively assuming that all street lights would be converted to LED bulbs and not LED-solar systems. The energy savings associated with this measure were calculated assuming LED bulbs are 70% more energy efficient than the existing street lights. The City was able to provide total kilowatt-hours used for the existing streetlight system, to which the 70% reduction was applied. The GHG emission reduction associated with this measure was calculated using the same PG&E-specific electricity consumption emission factor used to calculate the City's GHG emissions associated with electricity consumption. In reality, this measure may have a greater GHG emission reduction potential due to the installation of solar systems in addition to the LED bulbs.

Measure performance = 70 MT/year

Measure BE-6.5: Encourage PG&E and EBMUD to provide comparative energy and water conservation metrics on utility bills.

As part of this measure, PG&E would provide comparative energy consumption data for neighborhoods within individual energy bills. The energy bills will include both energy and water efficiency measures that customers can implement and other ways to reduce energy and water consumption. This type of comparative energy billing was found to reduce energy consumption by 2% over the course of a year.

Unscaled Measure Perform (% reduction in GHG emissi		Participation Rate	Scaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
2%	9%	100%	0.18%	130

Transportation and Land Use

Measure TL-1.1: Create complete streets throughout the city.

Complete streets include bike lanes and pedestrian sidewalks on both sides of streets, traffic calming features such as pedestrian bulb-outs, cross-walks, traffic circles, and elimination of physical and psychological barriers (e.g., sound walls and large arterial roadways, respectively). Depending on the level of implementation of this measure, the performance in vehicle trip and vehicle miles traveled reduction can range from 1-5%. It was assumed that all listed criteria for a complete street would be met, and the performance of this measure would correspond to the upper end of the range (i.e., 5%).

Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	Emissions Sector (Transportation)	•		GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)		
4%	4% 31.99% 100% ^a 1.28%		930			
^a 100% participation indicates that this measure would be applicable community-wide.						

Sources of information:

Dierkers, G., E. Silsbe, S. Stott, S. Winkelman, an M. Wubben. 2007. CCAP Transportation Emissions Guidebook. Center for Clean Air Policy. Washington, D.C. Available: http://www.ccap.org/safe/guidebook.php. as cited in California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) 2008. CEQA and Climate Change.

Measure TL-1.4: Install bicycle racks in commercial and civic areas of City where racks do not currently exist.

This measure was expected to reduce vehicle trips, and associated GHG emissions by 1%.

Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	Emissions Sector (Transportation)	Participation Rate	Scaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
1%	31.99%	100%	0.32%	240

Sources of information:

Victoria Transport Policy Institute. 2009. Online TDM Encyclopedia (Bicycle Parking). Available: http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm85.htm. Accessed 2009.

Measure TL-1.5: Encourage additional neighborhood serving commercial uses and mixed use development within City's existing commercial districts. Strive to provide access to daily goods and services within 1/4 mile of residences through small business incentive programs, land use/zoning/code changes, etc.

The performance of this measure is related to the elasticity of increased diversity of uses. The literature supports a 5% reduction in vehicle miles traveled for every 100% increase in land use diversity. For the City, it was assumed that this measure would result in a 100% community-wide increase in diversity by dispersing commercial uses in residential neighborhoods that currently do not have access to neighborhood serving retail.

Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)			GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)	
5%	31.99%	100%	1.60%	1,160

Sources of information:

Ewing, Reid, et al. 2001. Travel and the Built Environment: A Synthesis. Transportation Research Record 1780. Paper No. 01-3515 as cited in Urban Land Institute. 2008. Growing Cooler. ISBN: 978-0-87420-082-2. Washington, DC

Measure TL-2.4: Work with AC transit to provide transit stops with safe and convenient bicycle and pedestrian access and essential improvements such as shelters, route information, benches and lighting.

This measure was assumed to reduce vehicle trips and associated emissions by 0.5%.

Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	Emissions Sector (Transportation)	Participation Rate	Scaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
0.50%	31.99%	100%	0.16%	120

Sources of information:

Dierkers, G., E. Silsbe, S. Stott, S. Winkelman, an M. Wubben. 2007. CCAP Transportation Emissions Guidebook. Center for Clean Air Policy. Washington, D.C. Available: http://www.ccap.org/safe/guidebook.php. as cited in California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) 2008. CEQA and Climate Change.

Measure TL-3.1: Update specific plans, design guidelines, zoning regulations, development standards to promote high-quality, mixed-use, pedestrian- and transitoriented development in the neighborhood commercial districts along San Pablo Avenue and Solano Avenue.

The performance of this measure is related to the elasticity of design. The literature supports a 3% reduction in vehicle miles traveled for every 100% improvement in design. For the City, it was assumed that this measure would result in a 100% community-wide increase in design.

Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	Emissions Sector (Transportation)	Participation Rate	Scaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
3%	31.99%	100%	0.96%	700

Sources of information:

Ewing, Reid, et al. 2001. Travel and the Built Environment: A Synthesis. Transportation Research Record 1780. Paper No. 01-3515 as cited in Urban Land Institute. 2008. Growing Cooler. ISBN: 978-0-87420-082-2. Washington, DC

Measure TL-3.2: Provide incentives for projects that promote mixed-use, higher density development in neighborhood commercial districts along San Pablo Avenue and Solano Avenue transit corridors.

The performance of this measure is related to net population density. The performance of this measure is based on the following formula:

Step 1. 2005 Density = 16,800 Population year 2005

+ 4,840 Employees year 2005 =

 $21,640 \text{ persons} \div 1.5 \text{ square miles} = 14,427$

persons/sq.mile in year 2005

Step 2. 2020 Density = 18,043 Population year 2020

+ 5,493 Employees year 2020 =

23,536 persons ÷ 1.5 square miles = 15,691

persons/sq.mile in year 2020

Step 3. Density Change = 15,691 persons/sq.mile

- <u>14,427 persons/sq.mile</u> =

1,264 persons/sq.mile ÷14427 persons/sq.mile = 0.0876 = 8.76% increase in density

The performance of this measure is related to the elasticity of increased density. The literature supports a 5% reduction in vehicle miles traveled for every 100% increase in density. For the City, it was assumed that this measure would result in approximately 8.76% community-wide increase in density by 2020, per the calculation above.

8.76% (increase in density) * 5% (reduction in VMT) = **0.438% reduction in VMT**

Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions) (Transportation		Participation Rate	Scaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
0.44%	31.99%	100%	0.14%	110

Sources of information:

Criterion Planners. 2008. Appendix A - 5D Method Technical Memorandum, INDEX Planbuilder Manual. Portland, OR. Ewing, Reid, et al. 2001. Travel and the Built Environment: A Synthesis. Transportation Research Record 1780. Paper No. 01-3515 as cited in Urban Land Institute. 2008. Growing Cooler. ISBN: 978-0-87420-082-2. Washington, DC

Public Education Measures

Measure TL-3.4:	Provide public education about benefits of well-designed, higher-density housing and relationship between land use and transportation.
Measure TL-4.8:	Provide public education regarding reducing motor vehicle-related greenhouse gas emissions.
Measure BE-2.4:	Educate residents about the availability of free home energy audit programs and encourage implementation of audit findings.
Measure BE-2.5:	Partner with PG&E to provide public education campaign that encourages residential energy efficiency improvements.
Measure BE-3.3:	Incentivize businesses to participate in free building energy audit programs and encourage implementation of audit findings.
Measure BE-3.4:	Partner with PG&E to provide a business education program that encourages commercial energy efficiency improvements.

This measure is related to the implementation of a comprehensive community-wide public education campaign to inform residents, businesses, and consumers about the incentive programs that would be implemented as part of the CAP designed to reduce GHG emissions. This measure is based on empirical data from a public education campaign designed to reduce emissions of criteria air pollutants in the Sacramento region (i.e., the Spare the Air program). The Sacramento region conducted an analysis of the effectiveness of the Spare the Air program as it relates to emission reduction. The analysis confirmed that approximately 1% of people changed their behavior (e.g., took fewer vehicle trips on Spare the Air days) as a result of the Spare the Air campaign.

For the City's public education campaign, it was assumed that approximately 1% of people would reduce their emissions from all sectors (e.g., transportation, electricity, natural gas, waste, water) by about 10%.

Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	Emissions Sector (all)	Participation Rate	Scaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
10%	100%	1%	0.10%	80

Sources of information:

Based on SMAQMD 2009. Spare the Air Control Measure Program; Revision to State Implementation Plan Staff Report.

Measure TL-4.1: Work with ABAG and neighboring cities to improve jobs-housing balance within existing transit corridors.

This measure's performance is based on the formula:

Trip reduction = (1-(ABS(1.5*h-e)/(1.5*h+e))-0.25)/0.25*0.03

Where: h = study area households (or housing units)

e = study area employment

Under existing conditions (2005), Albany had 7,130 households and 4,840 jobs, with a jobs/housing ratio of 0.68.

According to ABAG 2020 projections under the Focused Future growth scenario, Albany would accommodate approximately 7,619 housing units and 5,493 jobs (jobs/housing = 0.72).

Trip reduction (existing 2005) = (1 - (ABS (1.5 * 7,130 - 4,840)/(1.5 * 7,130 + 4,840)) - 0.25) / 0.25 * 0.03 = 0.0448 = 4.48%

If Albany were to improve jobs/housing balance by 20% from existing conditions (i.e., jobs/housing = 0.68), the jobs/housing ratio would be 0.81. If housing were expected to remain constant at the projected 7,619 households in the year 2020, the number of jobs needed to achieve a jobs/housing balance of 0.81 would be 6,206 jobs. Substituting HH = 7,619 and jobs = 6,206 into the formula below:

Trip reduction (20% above 2005) = (1 - (ABS (1.5 * 7,619 - 6,206)/(1.5 * 7,619 + 6,206)) - 0.25) / 0.25 * 0.03 = 0.0545 = 5.45%

Trip reduction (existing 2005) – Trip reduction (20% above 2005) = 0.0448 - 0.0545 = -0.00973 = -0.973%

Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	Emissions Sector (Transportation)	Participation Rate	Scaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
0.97%	31.99%	100%	0.31%	230

Sources of information:

Nelson/Nygaard Consultants. 2005. Crediting Low-Traffic Developments: Adjusting Site-Level Vehicle Trip Generation Using URBEMIS. Pg 12, (adapted from Criterion and Fehr & Peers, 2001)

Measure TL-4.4: Create and implement a transportation demand management program that reduces weekday peak period trips by at least 20% (applies to commute trips only).

The performance of this measure is a function of the performance standard set for the TDM program. The measure applies to commute trips only, which compose approximately 33% of trips in Alameda County (according to URBEMIS 2007).

Unscaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	Emissions Sector (Transportation)	Participation Rate	Scaled Measure Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
15%	31.99%	33%	1.58%	1,140

Sources of information:

Rimpo and Associates. 2008. URBEMIS 2007 v.9.2.4. Urban Emissions Model. Available: http://www.urbemis.com.

Waste Reduction

Measure WR-1.1: Establish 90% waste reduction target for 2030 and work with Alameda County, neighboring cities, Ecology Center and other organizations to leverage zero waste effort and provide public education regarding zero waste strategies.

This measure originates from the StopWaste.org goal to achieve a 90% reduction from 1990 waste disposal levels by 2030. The GHG emissions reduction associated with this measure were calculated using the ICLEI CACP software. The CACP software contains nation-wide emission factors for various categories of waste. As discussed in Chapter IV Baseline, the percent distributions of waste categories from the *Alameda County Waste Categorization Study* were used to calculate GHG emissions using the CACP software. Waste categories from the *Alameda County Waste Categorization Study* were combined to better match the CACP software categories. The reduction in waste disposal (tons) from 2004 levels to projected 2020 levels (i.e., 80% below 1990 baseline) was used to calculate total GHG emission reductions. Waste categorization percentages were assumed to remain constant from 2004 to 2020.

Measure performance = 2,210 MT/yr

Water Conservation

Measure WC-1.1: Encourage residential users to participate in EBMUD's free water audit program.

This measure is based on residential water consumption data provided by EBMUD. EBMUD was able to provide percentage of water consumed for single-family (SFR) and multi-family (MFR) residential units, the percent of indoor and outdoor water use for both SFR and MFR, and the percent of indoor water use associated with faucets and leaks. This measure's water efficiency actions would reduce indoor water faucet efficiency by 40% and eliminate all indoor leaks. It was assumed that 3% of the residential homes within the City would participate in this water efficiency measure.

Percent of Total Water Consumption	Percent Indoor Water Consumption	Percent Indoor Water Consumption to Faucets	Percent Indoor Water Consumption to Leaks	Participation Rate	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
46% (SFR)	62% (SFR)	69%	8%	3%	3
17% (MFR)	86% (MFR)	69%	8%	3%	2
Total	5				

Measure WC-1.2: Develop and implement voluntary program f to reduce residential and commercial outdoor water consumption by 50%.

Percent of Total Water Consumption	Percent Outdoor Water Consumption	Percent Reduction of Outdoor Water Consumption	Participation Rate	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
46% (SFR)	38% (SFR)	50%	4%	2
17% (MFR)	14% (MFR)	50%	4%	1
Total				3

Measure WC-2.1: Develop and implement mandatory program for residential remodels and renovations to improve indoor plumbing fixture and fixture-fitting water efficiency by 20% above the California Building Standards Code water efficiency standards and eliminate 100% of leaks.

Percent of Total Water Consumption	Percent Indoor Water Consumption	Percent Indoor Water Consumption to Faucets	Percent Indoor Water Consumption to Leaks	Participation Rate	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
46% (SFR)	62% (SFR)	69%	8%	18%	18
17% (MFR)	86% (MFR)	69%	8%	18%	5
Total					23

Measure WC-2.2: Develop and implement mandatory program for residential remodels and renovations to reduce outdoor water consumption by 50%.

Percent of Total Water Consumption	Percent Indoor Water Consumption	Percent Indoor Water Consumption to Leaks	Participation Rate	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
46% (SFR)	62% (SFR)	8%	53%	16
17% (MFR)	86% (MFR)	8%	53%	1
Total				17

Green Infrastructure

Measure GI-1.1: Enhance street tree program to reduce building energy consumption and provide carbon sequestration.

This measure is based on the CO_2 sequestration rates of 500 trees planted in the City each year from 2010 to 2019. Carbon sequestration rates specific to the species and age of the planted trees were used calculate the annual sequestration potential of the trees from 2009 to 2020. The City's forester stated that with additional funding, Albany could plant 500 street trees per year over the next ten years. Total value of measure: 130 MT/year

Sources of information:

The Center for Urban Forest Research Tree Carbon Calculator. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/ccrc/topics/urban-forests/ USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. "California Study Shows Shade Trees Reduce Summertime Electricity Use." Science Daily 7 January 2009. 20 February 2009 http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2009/01/090105150831.htm. California Energy Commission [CEC] 2005. Electricity Usage During Peak Periods. Available:

http://www.energy.ca.gov/electricity/peak_loads.html

California Energy Commission [CEC] 2007. Impact Analysis 2008 Update to the California Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings

Senate Bill 107

SB 107 requires utilities to establish renewable energy portfolios of 20% by 2010, which would result in reduction of GHG emission factors associated with electricity generation and consumption. It was assumed that GHG emissions associated with electricity consumption in Albany would be reduced by 20% between the base year (2004) and 2020 associated with the implementation of this legislation. When SB 107 was taken into account in 2020 GHG emissions projections, growth in population and associated emissions in Albany would be outpaced by the reduction in emission factors associated with renewable energy portfolio standard.

Unscaled Regulation Performance	Emissions Sector (electricity)	Scaled Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
6%	22%	1.34%	968

Assembly Bill 1493 (Pavley)

AB 1493, California's mobile-source GHG emissions regulations for passenger vehicles, was signed into law in 2002. AB 1493 has not been implemented at the time of writing, because California has not received federal approvals to implement these emissions standards. This authorization to implement more stringent standards in California was requested in the form of a CAA Section 209, subsection (b) waiver in 2005. Since that time, EPA failed to act on granting California authorization to implement the standards. It appears likely that AB 1493 will be implemented in the near future, as the new presidential administration has directed EPA to reexamine its position for denial of CCAA's waiver and for its past opposition to GHG emissions regulation. California received the waiver on June 30, 2009.

The CO₂ reduction associated with the foreseeable implementation of AB 1493 is currently unknown. The ARB's AB 32 Scoping Plan (the State's plan for implementing AB 32) expects approximately a 19.7% reduction in on-

road mobile-source GHG emissions (ARB 2008¹). The AB 32 Scoping Plan also notes that "AB 32 specifically states that if the Pavley regulations do not remain in effect, ARB shall implement alternative regulations to control mobile sources to achieve equivalent or greater reductions of greenhouse gas emissions (HSC §38590)." Thus, it is reasonable to assume implementation of AB 1493 standards, or equivalent programs that would be implemented by ARB.

Because AB 1493 allows automakers two years lead time prior to the first model year of regulation, if AB 1493 were implemented in 2009, the earliest model year that would reasonably be expected to be regulated would be model year 2012.

It was assumed that AB 1493 would be 80% implemented by the year 2020 (allowing for two years of delay). Thus, the likely effect of AB 1493 on mobile-source GHG emissions in Albany was assumed to be approximately 15.76%.

Unscaled Emission Reduction	Sector (Transportation)	Scaled Performance (% reduction in GHG emissions)	GHG Emissions Reduction (MT/year)
15.76%	31.99%	5.04%	3,629

¹ California Air Resources Board. 2008 (December). *Climate Change Proposed Scoping Plan*. Sacramento, CA. Available: http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/document/scopingplandocument.htm. Last updated December 2008. Accessed May 18, 2009.